

# Exercises for lab 3 of CS3101b

Instructor: Marc Moreno Maza, TA: Xiaohui Chen

Thursday 16 January 2015

## 1 Exercise 1

The following is a C function for computing the sequence of the Fibonacci numbers (in a naive way).

```
double fib(int n){
    if(n<=2)
        return(1.0);
    else
        return(fib(n-2)+fib(n-1));
}
```

1. Write a Julia program that computes `fib(n)`
2. Using the `@time` macro, measure the running times of your Julia function `fib(n)` for `n` between 35 and 45.
3. If you are a Matlab user, here's `fib(n)` in Matlab for you to perform the same measurement.

```
function f=fib(n)
    if n <= 2
        f=1.0;
    else
        f=fib(n-1)+fib(n-2);
    end
end
```

## 2 Exercise 2

The following is a C function for computing the product of two square matrices (in a naive and inefficient way).

```
#define M 500
void mmult(double A[M][M],double B[M][M], double C[M][M]){
```

```

//double C[M][M];
int i,j,k;
for(i=0; i<M; i++)
  for(j=0; j<M; j++){
    C[i][j] = 0;
    for(k=0; k<M; k++)
      C[i][j] += A[i][k]*B[k][j];
  }
}

```

1. Write a Julia program that computes `mmult(A,B)` where `A` and `B` are two square matrices of the same order `M` (using the same naive and inefficient algorithm as in `C`).
2. Using the `@time` macro, measure the running times of your Julia function `mmult(A,B)` for `M` equal to 500, 1000, 1500, 2000. Your input matrices will be randomly generated using `rand(M,M)`.
3. If you are a Matlab user, here's `mmult(A,B,C)` in Matlab for you to perform the same measurement.

```

function C=mmult(A,B,C)
[M,N] = size(A);
for i=1:M
  for j=1:M
    for k=1:M
      C(i,j) = C(i,j) + A(i,k)*B(k,j);
    end
  end
end
end
end

```

### 3 Exercise 3

The following Julia session implements a famous algorithm for sorting called *quicksort*. Look at its wikipedia page to learn how this algorithm works!

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quicksort>

```

function qsort!(a,lo,hi)
  i, j = lo, hi
  while i < hi
    pivot = a[(lo+hi)>>>1]
    while i <= j
      while a[i] < pivot; i = i+1; end
      while a[j] > pivot; j = j-1; end
      if i <= j

```

```

        a[i], a[j] = a[j], a[i]
        i, j = i+1, j-1
    end
end
if lo < j; qsort!(a,lo,j); end
lo, j = i, hi
end
return a
end

function sortperf(n)
    qsort!(rand(n), 1, n)
end
@time sortperf(5000)

```

1. Go through the code and make sure you agree that it is an implementation of the algorithm presented in the wikipedia page.
2. Record the running time of `sortperf(2e*1000000)` for  $e = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$ .
3. Are your results coherent with the theoretical prediction (see the section *Formal analysis* in the wikipedia page) that sorting of an array of size  $n$  with *quicksort* runs in a time asymptotically proportional to  $O(n\log(n))$ ?

## 4 Exercise 4

Read the wikipedia page dedicated to the merge-sort algorithm:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Merge\\_sort](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Merge_sort)

1. Write a Julia implementing the merge-sort algorithm and following the style and presentation done for *quicksort*.
2. Compare the running times of both sorting algorithms