

CS 830
Operator algebras
Éric Schost
eschost@uwo.ca

Towards summation and integration in general

Definite and indefinite sums

Indefinite sums

- sums where the summation bounds are **variables**

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} = F(n) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k-1}{k(k+1)} 2^k = G(n).$$

Definite sums

- these are the sums where the summation bounds are **explicit** (usually, $\pm\infty$).

Nice cases: summands have two variables.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \quad \text{hard}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} = 2^n \quad \text{easy}$$

Definite and indefinite integrals

Indefinite integrals

- integrals where a bound is **variable**

$$\int_{-\infty}^y \frac{1+x^2}{1-x^2} dx = F(y) \quad \int_{-\infty}^y \frac{x-1}{x(x+1)} 2^x dx = G(y).$$

Definite integrals

- these are the integrals where the bounds are **explicit** (usually, $\pm\infty$).

Nice cases: integrand have two variables.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp -x^2/2 dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \quad \text{hard}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1-x}{1+xy} dx = F(y)$$

What we have seen

Previous lectures

- **Gosper**: indefinite summation of hypergeometric sequences in k .
- **Zeilberger**: definite summation of hypergeometric sequences in n, k .

Both algorithms use heavily the **hypergeometric** assumption.

Generalizations to

- more operators,
- of higher order,
- in more variables.

Back to the basics

D-finite series

Def.

- A series $f(x)$ is **D-finite** if there exists a linear differential equation **with polynomial coefficients** such that

$$q_d(x)f^{(d)} + q_{d-1}(x)f^{(d-1)} + \cdots + q_0(x)f = 0.$$

- Equivalently, we can take **rational functions** as coefficients.

Examples.

- polynomials,
- rational functions,
- algebraic series (e.g., $\sqrt{1+x^2}$)
- exp, sin, cos,
- a lot more

P-recursive sequences

Def.

- A sequence u_n is **P-recursive** if it satisfies a recurrence **with polynomial coefficients**

$$p_d(n)u_{n+d} + p_{d-1}(n)u_{n+d-1} + \cdots + p_0(n)u_n = 0$$

Examples.

- constant sequences,
- recurrences with constant coefficients,
- factorial,
- **hypergeometric** $u_{n+1}/u_n = a(n)/b(n)$.

Differential operators

Instead of writing an equation like

$$(x + 1)h' = xh$$

we prefer

$$\left((x + 1)\partial_x - x \right) (h) = 0.$$

Operators such as

$$(x + 1)\partial_x - x$$

can be used as a data structure.

- They somehow behave like **polynomials** (multiplication, division, etc).
- Algorithms will **generalize** many known ones (from Euclid to Gröbner bases).
- Remember: **multiplication** by ∂_x is **differentiation**.

Multiplication

Example: multiplication of differential operators

If $(x + 1)h' - xh = 0$, then its derivative is zero as well:

$$\left((x + 1)h' - xh \right)' = 0.$$

This gives

$$(x + 1)h'' + h' - xh' - h = 0 \iff (x + 1)h'' + (1 - x)h' - h = 0.$$

In **operator notation**, this becomes:

- Suppose $((x + 1)\partial_x - x)(h) = 0$.
- Then $\partial_x(((x + 1)\partial_x - x)(h)) = 0$.
- Or $(\partial_x((x + 1)\partial_x - x))(h) = 0$.
- Or $(\partial_x(x + 1)\partial_x - \partial_x x)(h) = 0$.

Commutation rules

Leibniz formula

$$(Ah)' = Ah' + A'h \iff \partial_x(Ah) = (A\partial_x + A')(h).$$

So the previous formula becomes

$$((x+1)\partial_x + 1)\partial_x - x\partial_x - 1)(h) = 0 \iff ((x+1)\partial_x^2 + (1-x)\partial_x - 1)(h) = 0.$$

So:

- of course, we recover the **same result** as before;
- but we don't need to carry h in our computations.

More commutation rules

We have just seen

$$\partial_x A = A\partial_x + A'.$$

Continuing:

$$\partial_x^2 A = A\partial_x^2 + 2A'\partial_x + A'',$$

and more generally

$$\partial_x^n A = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} A^{(k)} \partial_x^{n-k}.$$

Multiplication rules

Given an operator

$$P = p_d(x)\partial_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)\partial_x + p_0(x)$$

we can multiply **on the left**

- by any polynomial in x ...
- by any power of ∂_x ...
- more generally, by **any other operator** Q :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(q_e(x)\partial_x^e + \cdots + q_1(x)\partial_x + q_0(x) \right) \left(p_d(x)\partial_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)\partial_x + p_0(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \leq d} \sum_{j \leq e} q_j(x)\partial_x^j p_i(x)\partial_x^i = \sum_{k \leq d+e} r_k(x)\partial_x^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then if $P(h) = 0$, $QP(h) = 0$.

Recurrence operators

Instead of writing an equation like

$$(n + 1)u_{n+1} = nu_n$$

we prefer

$$\left((n + 1)E - n \right) (u_n) = 0,$$

where E is the **shift** $E(u_n) = u_{n+1}$. **Operators** such as

$$(n + 1)E - n$$

can be used as a data structure.

- They somehow behave like **polynomials** (multiplication, division, etc).
- Algorithms will **generalize** many known ones (from Euclid to Gröbner bases).
- Remember: **multiplication** by E is **shift**.

Example: multiplication of recurrence operators

If $(n + 1)u_{n+1} - nu_n = 0$, then its shift is zero as well:

$$\left((n + 2)u_{n+2} - (n + 1)u_{n+1} \right) = 0.$$

In **operator notation**, this becomes:

- Suppose $((n + 1)E - n)(u_n) = 0$.
- Then $E((n + 1)E - n)(u_n) = 0$.
- Or $(E((n + 1)E - n))(u_n) = 0$.
- Or $(E(n + 1)E - En)(u_n) = 0$.

Commutation rules

Discrete Leibniz formula

$$[A_n u_n]_{n+1} = A_{n+1} u_{n+1} \iff E(A_n u_n) = A_{n+1} E(u_n).$$

So the previous formula becomes

$$(E(n+1)E - En)(u_n) = ((n+2)E^2 - (n+1)E)(u_n).$$

So:

- of course, we recover the **same result** as before;
- but we don't need to carry u_n in our computations.

More commutation rules

We have just seen

$$EA_n = A_{n+1}E.$$

Continuing:

$$E^2 A_n = A_{n+2}E^2.$$

and more generally

$$E^k A_n = A_{n+k}E^k.$$

Multiplication rules

Given an operator

$$P = p_d(n)E^d + \cdots + p_1(n)E + p_0(n)$$

we can multiply **on the left**

- by any polynomial in n ...
- by any power of E ...
- more generally, by **any other operator** Q :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(q_e(n)E^d + \cdots + q_1(n)E + q_0(n) \right) \left(p_d(n)E^d + \cdots + p_1(n)E + p_0(n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \leq d} \sum_{j \leq e} q_j(n)E^j p_i(n)E^i = \sum_{k \leq d+e} r_k(n)E^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then if $P(u_n) = 0$, $QP(u_n) = 0$.

Complexity

Prop.

- If P and Q are differential operators with

$$\deg(P, \partial_x) \leq n, \quad \deg(P, x) \leq n, \quad \deg(Q, \partial_x) \leq n, \quad \deg(Q, x) \leq n,$$

then one can compute the coefficients of

$$R = PQ = \sum_{i \leq 2n} r_i(x) \partial_x^i$$

in $O(n^\omega)$ operations.

Prop.

- If P and Q are recurrences ... I don't know.

Division

Euclidean division of differential operators

Consider two differential operators

$$P = p_d(x)\partial_x^d + \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad Q = q_e(x)\partial_x^e + \cdots ,$$

with $d \geq e$.

Euclidean division step

- multiply Q by ∂_x^{d-e} and reorganize:

$$\partial_x^{d-e}Q = \tilde{q}_d(x)\partial_x^d + \cdots$$

- compute $R = \tilde{q}_d(x)P - p_d(x)\partial_x^{d-e}Q$:

$$R = r_{d-1}(x)\partial_x^{d-1} + \cdots$$

Eventually, we get an equality $a(x)P = B(x, \delta_x)Q + S(x, \delta_x)$, with $\deg(S, \delta_x) < e$.

Application

The function $f(x) = \cos(x^2)$ satisfies the linear differential equation

$$xf'' - f' + 4x^3 f = 0,$$

so $P(f) = 0$ with

$$P = x\partial_x^2 - \partial_x + 4x^3.$$

Do we have

$$f^{(4)} - xf^{(3)} = 0?$$

We compute the remainder of the Euclidean division of

$$Q = \partial_x^4 - x\partial_x^3 \quad \text{by} \quad P.$$

Application

1. All elementary steps.

$$R_1 = xQ - \partial_x^2 P = -(1+x^2)\partial_x^3 - 4x^3\partial_x^2 - 24x^2\partial_x - 24x$$

$$R_2 = xR_1 + (1+x^2)\partial_x P = -4x^4\partial_x^2 + (-20x^3 + 4x^5)\partial_x + 12x^4 - 12x^2$$

$$R_3 = xR_2 + 4x^4 P = (4x^6 - 24x^4)\partial_x + 16x^7 + 12x^5 - 12x^3.$$

2. Putting them together.

$$x^2 R_1 = x^3 Q - x^2 \partial_x^2 P$$

$$x R_2 = x^2 R_1 + x(1+x^2)\partial_x P$$

$$R_3 = x R_2 + 4x^4 P$$

3. The whole division equality

$$R_3 = x^3 Q + (-x^2 \partial_x^2 + x(1+x^2)\partial_x + 4x^4)P.$$

Main ideas

Cf. **computations with polynomial systems**

- you don't handle the **roots** explicitly;
- instead, you perform manipulations on the **equations** themselves.

Example: suppose that x is a root of $P = T^{30} + 128T^3 - 3$. Do you have

$$\frac{x^{12}}{3x - 1} = \frac{1 - x^{40}}{1 + 23x}?$$

There's no need to compute the roots numerically. The property is equivalent to

$$(1 + 23x)x^{12} = (1 - x^{40})(3x - 1) \iff 3x^{41} - x^{40} + 23x^{13} + x^{12} - 3x + 1 = 0.$$

This polynomial has no common root with P , so the answer is **no**.

GCD and LCM

Using Euclidean division, we can write a **Euclidean** algorithm for differential operators. Given $P(x, \partial_x) = P_0, Q(x, \partial_x) = P_1,$

- $P_2 \leftarrow P_0 \text{ rem } P_1$
- $P_3 \leftarrow P_1 \text{ rem } P_2$
- ...

1. The **last non-zero** remainder G is the **right gcd** of P and Q :

$$a(x)P = AG, \quad b(x)Q = BG.$$

2. One can deduce **cofactors** $P'(x, \partial_x), Q'(x, \partial_x)$ such that

$$P'(x, \partial_x)P(x, \partial_x) + Q'(x, \partial_x)Q(x, \partial_x) = 0.$$

Euclidean division of recurrences

Everything works similarly for **recurrences**. Consider

$$P = p_d(n)E^d + \dots \quad \text{and} \quad Q = q_e(n)E^e + \dots,$$

with $d \geq e$.

Euclidean division step

- multiply Q by E^{d-e} and reorganize:

$$E^{d-e}Q = \tilde{q}_d(n)E^d + \dots$$

- compute $R = \tilde{q}_d(n)P - p_d(n)E^{d-e}Q$:

$$R = r_{d-1}(n)E^{d-1} + \dots$$

Eventually, we get an equality $a(n)P = B(n, E)Q + S(n, E)$, with $\deg(S, E) < e$.

GCD and **cofactors** are defined as before.

Multivariate operators

Multivariate operators

Next, we define **multivariate** operators and recurrences.

1. The rules defining **hypergeometric sequences** $u_{n,k}$

$$u_{n+1,k} = r(n,k)u_{n,k} \quad u_{n,k+1} = s(n,k)u_{n,k}$$

can be rewritten

$$E_n - r(n,k), \quad E_k - s(n,k),$$

where

- E_n is **shift by 1** in n ;
- E_k is **shift by 1** in k .

Multivariate operators

2. Functions satisfying **linear PDE's** can be defined using multivariate differential operators.

The function

$$F = \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{y^2} - y^2\right)$$

satisfies

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = -\frac{2x}{y^2}F, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \left(\frac{2x^2}{y^3} - y\right)F.$$

The equations can be represented by the operators

$$\partial_x + \frac{2x}{y^2}, \quad \partial_y - \frac{2x^2}{y^3} + y.$$

Multivariate operators

3. One can mix recurrences and differential equations.

Example: the Jacobi polynomials.

$$P_n^{(a,b)}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n+a}{k} \binom{n+b}{n-k} (x-1)^{n-k} (x+1)^k.$$

They satisfy relations of the form

$$a(n, x)P_{n+2}^{(a,b)} + b(n, x)P_{n+1}^{(a,b)} + c(n, x)P_n^{(a,b)} = 0$$

$$A(n, x)P_{n+1}^{(a,b)'} + B(n, x)P_{n+1}^{(a,b)} + C(n, x)P_n^{(a,b)} = 0$$

$$\alpha(n, x)P_n^{(a,b)''} + \beta(n, x)P_n^{(a,b)'} + \gamma(n, x)P_n^{(a,b)} = 0$$

Multivariate operators

3. One can mix recurrences and differential equations.

Example: the Jacobi polynomials.

The system of defining operators is

$$a(n, x)E^2 + b(n, x)E + c(n, x)$$

$$A(n, x)\partial E + B(n, x)E + C(n, x)$$

$$\alpha(n, x)\partial^2 + \beta(n, x)\partial + \gamma(n, x)$$

Computing with multivariate operators

In general, we can have

- coefficients in variables n_1, n_2, \dots and x_1, x_2, \dots
- several **shift** operators E_{n_1}, E_{n_2}, \dots
- several **differentiation** operators $\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots$
- and maybe more complex operators too (but not in this lecture).

multiplication rules: all multiplications are done as before, except

- $E_{n_i} p(\dots, n_i, \dots) = p(\dots, n_i + 1, \dots) E_{n_i}$
- $\partial_{x_i} p = p \partial_{x_i} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}$.

Jacobi polynomials, again

Remember that we have **three** relations on the Jacobi polynomials

$$\mathbf{J}_1 = a(n, x)E^2 + b(n, x)E + c(n, x)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_2 = A(n, x)\partial E + B(n, x)E + C(n, x)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_3 = \alpha(n, x)\partial^2 + \beta(n, x)\partial + \gamma(n, x)$$

Let's see how we can deduce the **last one** from the other ones.

Main ingredient

- We saw that given $P(x, \partial)$ and $Q(x, \partial)$, we could find $P'(x, \partial)$ and $Q'(x, \partial)$ with

$$P'P + Q'Q = 0.$$

- The same works for $P(n, x, \partial)$ and $Q(n, x, \partial)$.

Jacobi polynomials, again

We apply **Euclid's algorithm** in the variable E .

1. We **multiply** the second relation by E :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_2 = \tilde{A}(n, x)\partial E^2 + \tilde{B}(n, x)E^2 + \tilde{C}(n, x)E.$$

The first one is still

$$\mathbf{J}_1 = a(n, x)E^2 + b(n, x)E + c(n, x).$$

2. We find $a'(n, x, \partial)$ and $A'(n, x, \partial)$ such that $a'a + A'(\tilde{A}\partial + \tilde{B}) = 0$ and compute

$$a'\mathbf{J}_1 + A'\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_2 = p(n, x, \delta)E + q(n, x, \delta).$$

3. We do one more step to get rid of E .

Elimination and summation

Creative telescoping in general

Suppose that $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, n_1, n_2, \dots)$ is defined by a set of relations

$$a_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, n_1, n_2, \dots, \partial_1, \partial_2, \dots, E_1, E_2, \dots)(f) = 0.$$

1. Let

$$F = \int_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} f dx_1.$$

We want to compute some relations

$$A_i(x_2, \dots, n_1, n_2, \dots, \partial_2, \dots, E_1, E_2, \dots)(F) = 0.$$

2. Let

$$G = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} f.$$

We want to compute some relations

$$B_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, n_2, \dots, \partial_1, \partial_2, \dots, E_2, \dots)(G) = 0.$$

Creative telescoping for integrals

Suppose we can find an operator P

- that is a **combination** of the a_i :

$$P = \sum b_i(x, n, \partial, E) a_i(x, n, \partial, E),$$

with $x = x_1, \dots, n = n_1, \dots$, etc

- that does **not** depend on x_1 .

1. $P(f) = 0$.

2. Because P has no x_1 , ∂_{x_1} can be permuted with all other variables:

$$P = P_0(x', n, \partial', E) + \partial_{x_1} P_1(x', n, \partial, E),$$

with $x' = x_2, \dots$, and $\partial' = \partial_{x_2}, \dots$.

Creative telescoping for integrals

3. Rewrite $P(f) = 0$ as

$$P_0(x', n, \partial', E)(f) + \partial_{x_1} P_1(x', n, \partial, E)(f) = 0.$$

4. Integrate

$$\int_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(x', n, \partial', E)(f) dx_1 + \int_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_{x_1} P_1(x', n, \partial, E)(f) dx_1 = 0.$$

5. Permute and clean

$$P_0(x', n, \partial', E)(F) + [P_1(x', n, \partial, E)(f)]_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 0.$$

6. Usually, the last term is zero, so

$$P_0(x', n, \partial', E)(F) = 0.$$

Creative telescoping for sums

Suppose we can find an operator Q

- that is a **combination** of the a_i :

$$Q = \sum c_i(x, n, \partial, E) a_i(x, n, \partial, E),$$

with $x = x_1, \dots, n = n_1, \dots$, etc

- that does **not** depend on n_1 .

1. $Q(f) = 0$.

2. Because Q has no n_1 , it can be rewritten

$$Q = Q_0(x, n', \partial, E') + (E_1 - 1)Q_1(x, n', \partial, E),$$

with $n' = n_2, \dots$, and $E' = E_2, \dots$.

We saw this form already!

Creative telescoping for sums

3. Rewrite $Q(f) = 0$ as

$$Q_0(x, n', \partial, E')(f) + (E_1 - 1)Q_1(x, n', \partial, E)(f) = 0.$$

4. Sum

$$\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_0(x, n', \partial, E')(f) + \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} (E_1 - 1)Q_1(x, n', \partial, E)(f) = 0.$$

5. Permute and clean

$$Q_0(x, n', \partial, E')(G) = 0.$$