A Standard Basis Free Algorithm for Computing the Tangent Cones of a Space Curve

Parisa Alvandi¹ Marc Moreno Maza¹ Éric Schost¹ Paul Vrbik²

¹University of Western Ontario, Canada ²University of Newcastle, Australia

> CASC @ Aachen 14-18 September 2015

Plan

1 Intersection Multiplicities via Regular Chains

- 2 Reducing from dim n to dim n 1: using transversality
- 3 Tangent Cone via Limit Computation
- 4 Limit Points of a Quasi-Component
- 5 Tangent Cone via Regular Chains

>
$$Fs := [(x^2 + y^2)^2 + 3x^2y - y^3, (x^2 + y^2)^3 - 4x^2y^2]:$$

> plots[implicitplot](Fs,x=-2..2,y=-2..2);



> R := PolynomialRing([x, y], 101):> rcs := Triangularzie(Fs, R, normalized = 'yes'):> seq (TriangularizeWithMultiplicity(Fs, T, R), T in rcs): $\begin{bmatrix} 1, \begin{cases} x-1=0\\ y+14=0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1, \begin{cases} x+1=0\\ y+14=0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1, \begin{cases} x-47=0\\ y-14=0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1, \begin{cases} x+47=0\\ y-14=0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 14, \begin{cases} x=0\\ y=0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$

3 / 39

>
$$Fs := [x^2 + y + z - 1, x + y^2 + z - 1, x + y + z^2 - 1]$$
:
> $R := PolynomialRing([x, y, z], 101)$:
> TriangularizeWithMultiplicity(Fs, R):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x - z = 0 \\ y - z = 0 \\ z^2 + 2z - 1 = 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2, \begin{cases} x = 0 \\ y = 0 \\ z - 1 = 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2, \begin{cases} x = 0 \\ y - 1 = 0 \\ z = 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2, \begin{cases} x - 1 = 0 \\ y = 0 \\ z = 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

TriangularizeWithMultiplicity

We specify TriangularizeWithMultiplicity:

Input $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $V(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is zero-dimensional.

Output Finitely many pairs $[(T_1, m_1), \ldots, (T_\ell, m_\ell)]$ where T_1, \ldots, T_ℓ are regular chains of $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that for all $p \in V(T_i)$ $I(p; f_1, \ldots, f_n) = m_i$ and $V(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = V(T_1) \uplus \cdots \uplus V(T_\ell)$.

TriangularizeWithMultiplicity works as follows

- Apply Triangularize on f_1, \ldots, f_n ,
- **2** Apply $IM_n(T; f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ on each regular chain T.

 $\mathsf{IM}_n(T; f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ works as follows

- if n = 2 apply Fulton's algorithm extended for working at a regular chains instead of a point (S. Marcus, M., P. Vrbik; CASC 2013),
- 2 if n > 2 attempt a reduction from dimension n to n 1.

Plan

Intersection Multiplicities via Regular Chains

2 Reducing from dim n to dim n-1: using transversality

3 Tangent Cone via Limit Computation

4 Limit Points of a Quasi-Component



Reducing from dim n to dim n-1: using transversality (1/2)

Definition

The intersection multiplicity of p in $V(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is given by $I(p; f_1, \ldots, f_n) := \dim_{\overline{k}} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n, p} / \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle)$. where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n, p}$ and $\dim_{\overline{k}} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n, p} / \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle)$ are respectively the local ring at the point p and the dimension of the vector space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n, p} / \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle$.

The next theorem reduces the *n*-dimensional case to n-1, under assumptions which state that f_n does not contribute to $I(p; f_1, \ldots, f_n)$.

Reducing from dim n to dim n-1: using transversality (1/2)

Definition

The intersection multiplicity of p in $V(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is given by $I(p; f_1, \ldots, f_n) := \dim_{\overline{k}} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n, p} / \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle)$. where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n, p}$ and $\dim_{\overline{k}} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n, p} / \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle)$ are respectively the local ring at the point p and the dimension of the vector space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n, p} / \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle$.

The next theorem reduces the *n*-dimensional case to n-1, under assumptions which state that f_n does not contribute to $I(p; f_1, \ldots, f_n)$.

Theorem 1

Assume that $h_n = V(f_n)$ is non-singular at p. Let v_n be its tangent hyperplane at p. Assume that h_n meets each component (through p) of the curve $C = V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$ transversely (that is, the tangent cone $TC_p(C)$ intersects v_n only at the point p). Let $h \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the degree 1 polynomial defining v_n . Then, we have

 $I(p; f_1, \ldots, f_n) = I(p; f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, h).$

Reducing from dim n to dim n-1: using transversality (2/2)

The theorem again:

Theorem

Assume that $h_n = V(f_n)$ is non-singular at p. Let v_n be its tangent hyperplane at p. Assume that h_n meets each component (through p) of the curve $C = V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$ transversely (that is, the tangent cone $TC_p(C)$ intersects v_n only at the point p). Let $h \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the degree 1 polynomial defining v_n . Then, we have $l(p; f_1, \ldots, f_n) = l(p; f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, h)$.

How to use this theorem in practise?

Assume that the coefficient of x_n in h is non-zero, thus $h = x_n - h'$, where $h' \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$. Hence, we can rewrite the ideal $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, h \rangle$ as $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, h \rangle$ where g_i is obtained from f_i by substituting x_n with h'. Then, we have

 $I(p; f_1, \ldots, f_n) = I(p|_{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}}; g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}).$

Reducing from dim n to dim n - 1: a simple case (1/3)

Example

Consider the system

$$f_1 = x, f_2 = x + y^2 - z^2, f_3 := y - z^3$$

near the origin $o := (0,0,0) \in V(f_1,f_2,f_3)$



Reducing from dim n to dim n - 1: a simple case (2/3)

Example

Recall the system

$$f_1 = x$$
, $f_2 = x + y^2 - z^2$, $f_3 := y - z^3$

near the origin $o := (0, 0, 0) \in V(f_1, f_2, f_3)$.

Computing the IM using the definition

Let us compute a basis for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^3,o}/\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$ as a vector space over \overline{k} . Setting x = 0 and $y = z^3$, we must have $z^2(z^4 + 1) = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^3,o} = \overline{k}[x,y,z]_{(z,y,z)}$. Since $z^4 + 1$ is a unit in this local ring, we see that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{3},o}/\left\langle \mathit{f}_{1},\mathit{f}_{2},\mathit{f}_{3}
ight
angle =\left\langle 1,z
ight
angle$$

as a vector space, so $I(o; f_1, f_2, f_3) = 2$.

Reducing from dim n to dim n - 1: a simple case (3/3)

3

Example

Recall the system again

$$f_1 = x, f_2 = x + y^2 - z^2, f_3 := y - z$$

near the origin $o := (0, 0, 0) \in V(f_1, f_2, f_3).$

Computing the IM using the reduction

We have

$$\mathcal{C} := V(x, x+y^2-z^2) = V(x, (y-z)(y+z)) = TC_o(\mathcal{C})$$

and we have

h = y.

Thus C and $V(f_3)$ intersect transversally at the origin. Therefore, we have $I_3(p; f_1, f_2, f_3) = I_2((0, 0); x, x - z^2) = 2.$

Reducing from dim *n* to dim n - 1: via cylindrification (1/3)

In practise, this reduction from n to n-1 variables does not always apply. For instance, this is the case for *Ojika 2*:

$$x^{2} + y + z - 1 = x + y^{2} + z - 1 = x + y + z^{2} - 1 = 0.$$



Figure: The real points of $V(x^2 + y + z - 1, x + y^2 + z - 1, x + y + z^2 - 1)$.

Reducing from dim *n* to dim n - 1: via cylindrification (2/3)

Recall the system

$$x^{2} + y + z - 1 = x + y^{2} + z - 1 = x + y + z^{2} - 1 = 0.$$

If one uses the first equation, that is $x^2 + y + z - 1 = 0$, to eliminate z from the other two, we obtain two bivariate polynomials $f, g \in k[x, y]$.



Figure: The real points of $V(x^2 + y + z - 1, x + y^2 - x^2 - y, x - y + x^4 + 2x^2y - 2x^2 + y^2)$ near the origin.

Reducing from dim *n* to dim n - 1: via cylindrification (3/3)

At any point of $p \in V(h, f, g)$ the tangent cone of the curve V(f, g) is independent of z; in some sense it is "vertical". On the other hand, at any point of $p \in V(h, f, g)$ the tangent space of V(h) is not vertical.

Thus, the previous theorem applies without computing any tangent cones.



Figure: The real points of $V(x^2 + y + z - 1, x + y^2 - x^2 - y, x - y + x^4 + 2x^2y - 2x^2 + y^2)$ near the origin.

Plan

1 Intersection Multiplicities via Regular Chains

2 Reducing from dim n to dim n - 1: using transversality

3 Tangent Cone via Limit Computation

4 Limit Points of a Quasi-Component



Tangent cones and tangent spaces

Tangent space

Let $F \subset k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $p \in V(F)$. The tangent space of V := V(F) at p is the algebraic set given by

$$T_p(V) := V(\{ \mathbf{d}_p(f) : f \in \mathbf{I}(V)\})$$

where $\mathbf{d}_p(f)$ is the linear part of f at p, that is, the affine form $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(p)(x_1 - p_1) + \cdots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(p)(x_n - p_n)$.

Tangent cone

The tangent cone of V := V(F) at p is the algebraic set given by

$$TC_{p}(V) = V(\{ \operatorname{HC}_{p}(f; \min) : f \in I(V) \}$$

where $\operatorname{HC}_p(f; \min)$ is the homogeneous component of least degree of f in x - p. If V is a curve, then $TC_p(V)$ consists of finitely many lines, all intersecting at p.

Tangent cone: a basic example



The tangent cone of V(h) for $h = y^2 - x^2(x+1) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ is V((y-x)(y+x)).

Previous works

One can compute the ideal $\langle \operatorname{HC}_{p}(f; \min) : f \in I(V) \rangle$ by means of standard bases (F. Mora 1982) or Grönber bases (T. Mora, G. Pfister & C. Traverso; 1992).

We are going to take a different route and rely on:

Theorem (Chapter 9 in (D. Cox, J. Little, & D. O'Shea; 1992))

A line L through p lies in the tangent cone $TC_p(V)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $(q_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ of points on $V \setminus \{p\}$ converging to p and such that the secant line L_k containing p and q_k becomes L when q_k approaches p.

Tangent cone computation via tangent spaces



Assume $\overline{k} = \mathbb{C}$ and none of the $V(f_i)$ is singular at p. For each component \mathcal{G} through p of $\mathcal{C} = V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$,

- There exists a neighborhood B of p such that $V(f_i)$ is not singular at all $q \in (B \cap G) \setminus \{p\}$, for i = 1, ..., n 1.
- Let $v_i(q)$ be the tangent hyperplane of $V(f_i)$ at q. Regard $v_1(q) \cap \cdots \cap v_{n-1}(q)$ as a parametric variety with q as parameter.
- Then, $TC_p(\mathcal{G}) = v_1(q) \cap \cdots \cap v_{n-1}(q)$ when q approaches p.
- Finally, $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$ is the union of all $TC_p(\mathcal{G})$. This approach avoids standard basis computation and extends for working with V(T) instead of p.

But how to compute the limit of $v_1(q) \cap \cdots \cap v_{n-1}(q)$ when approaches p?

Plan

1 Intersection Multiplicities via Regular Chains

2 Reducing from dim n to dim n - 1: using transversality

3 Tangent Cone via Limit Computation

4 Limit Points of a Quasi-Component



Limit points of a quasi-component

Input

- Let $R \subset \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_s]$ be a regular chain.
- Let h_R be the product of initials of polynomials of R.
- Let W(R) be the quasi-component of R, that is $V(R) \setminus V(h_R)$.

Desired output

The non-trivial limit points of W(R), that is

$$\lim(W(R)) := \overline{W(R)}^Z \setminus W(R).$$

Puiseux expansions of a regular chain

Notation

- Let $R := \{r_1(X_1, X_2), \dots, r_{s-1}(X_1, \dots, X_s)\} \subset \mathbb{C}[X_1 < \dots < X_s]$ be a 1-dim regular chain.
- Assume R is strongly normalized, that is, $\operatorname{init}(R) \in \mathbb{C}[X_1]$.
- Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}(\langle X_1^* \rangle).$
- Then R generates a zero-dimensional ideal in $\mathbf{k}[X_2, \ldots, X_s]$.
- Let $V^*(R)$ be the zero set of R in \mathbf{k}^{s-1} .

Definition

We call Puiseux expansions of R the elements of $V^*(R)$.

Remarks

- The strongly normalized assumption is only for presentation ease.
- The 1-dim assumption is, however, harder to relax.
- One could think of generalizations of Puiseux expanions using Jung-Abhyankar theorem. More on this tomorrow and slater.

An example

A regular chain R

$$R := \begin{cases} X_1 X_3^2 + X_2 \\ X_1 X_2^2 + X_2 + X_1 \end{cases}$$

Puiseux expansions of R

$$\begin{cases} X_3 = 1 + O(X_1^2) \\ X_2 = -X_1 + O(X_1^2) \end{cases} \begin{cases} X_3 = -1 + O(X_1^2) \\ X_2 = -X_1 + O(X_1^2) \end{cases} \begin{cases} X_3 = -X_1 + O(X_1^2) \\ X_2 = -X_1^{-1} + X_1 + O(X_1^2) \end{cases} \begin{cases} X_3 = -X_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}X_1 + O(X_1^2) \\ X_2 = -X_1^{-1} + X_1 + O(X_1^2) \end{cases} \begin{cases} X_2 = -X_1^{-1} + X_1 + O(X_1^2) \end{cases}$$

Relation between $\lim_{0}(W(R))$ and Puiseux expansions of R

Theorem

For
$$W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^s$$
, denote
 $\lim_{0}(W) := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s \mid x \in \lim(W) \text{ and } x_1 = 0\},\$
and define
 $V^*_{\geq 0}(R) := \{\Phi = (\Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^{s-1}) \in V^*(R) \mid \operatorname{ord}(\Phi^j) \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, s-1\}.\$
Then we have

$$\lim_{0}(W(R)) = \cup_{\Phi \in V^*_{\geq 0}(R)}\{(X_1 = 0, \Phi(X_1 = 0))\}.$$

$$V_{\geq 0}^{*}(R) := \begin{cases} X_{3} = 1 + O(X_{1}^{2}) \\ X_{2} = -X_{1} + O(X_{1}^{2}) \\ \end{bmatrix} \cup \begin{cases} X_{3} = -1 + O(X_{1}^{2}) \\ X_{2} = -X_{1} + O(X_{1}^{2}) \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ X_{2} = -X_{1} + O(X_{1}^{2}) \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the limit ponts are $\lim_{0 \to \infty} (W(R)) = \{(0, 0, 1), (0, 0, -1)\}.$

Limit points of a quasi-component

> with(AlgebraicGeometryTools):
> R := PolynomialRing([x, y, t]);
> F := [t*y^2 + y + 1, (t + 2)*t*x^2 + (y +1)* (x + 1)];
> C := Chain(F, Empty(R), R);
> lm := LimitPoints(C, R, false, true);
> Display(lm, R);
$$R := polynomial_ring$$
$$F := [ty^2 + y + 1, (t+2) tx^2 + (y+1) (x+1)]$$
$$C := regular_chain$$
$$lm := [regular_chain, regular_chain, regular_chain, regular_chain]$$
$$lm := [regular_chain, regular_chain]$$
$$lm := [regular_chain]$$

Plan

1 Intersection Multiplicities via Regular Chains

- 2 Reducing from dim n to dim n 1: using transversality
- 3 Tangent Cone via Limit Computation
- 4 Limit Points of a Quasi-Component



Algorithm principle

- Recall $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n \rangle$ is zero-dimensional.
- We want $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$ for $p \in V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n)$ and $\mathcal{C} := V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$.
- Let $m(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a point on the curve C.
- Let \vec{u} be a unit vector directing the line (pm).
- The set $\{\lim_{m\to p, m\neq p} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$.

Step 1

• Let *T* be a 0-dim regular chain defining the point *p*; rename its variables to *y*₁,..., *y*_n.

Algorithm principle

- Recall $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n \rangle$ is zero-dimensional.
- We want $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$ for $p \in V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n)$ and $\mathcal{C} := V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$.
- Let $m(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a point on the curve C.
- Let \vec{u} be a unit vector directing the line (pm).
- The set $\{\lim_{m\to p, m\neq p} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$.

- Let *T* be a 0-dim regular chain defining the point *p*; rename its variables to *y*₁,..., *y*_n.
- Consider the polynomial system (S) defined by T and $f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-1} = 0$.

Algorithm principle

- Recall $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n \rangle$ is zero-dimensional.
- We want $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$ for $p \in V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n)$ and $\mathcal{C} := V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$.
- Let $m(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a point on the curve C.
- Let \vec{u} be a unit vector directing the line (pm).
- The set $\{\lim_{m\to p, m\neq p} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$.

- Let *T* be a 0-dim regular chain defining the point *p*; rename its variables to *y*₁,..., *y*_n.
- Consider the polynomial system (S) defined by T and $f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-1} = 0$.
- This is a 1-dim system in the variables $y_1, \ldots, y_n, x_1, \ldots, x_n$.

Algorithm principle

- Recall $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n \rangle$ is zero-dimensional.
- We want $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$ for $p \in V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n)$ and $\mathcal{C} := V(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$.
- Let $m(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a point on the curve C.
- Let \vec{u} be a unit vector directing the line (pm).
- The set $\{\lim_{m\to p, m\neq p} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_p(\mathcal{C})$.

- Let *T* be a 0-dim regular chain defining the point *p*; rename its variables to *y*₁,..., *y*_n.
- Consider the polynomial system (S) defined by T and $f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-1} = 0$.
- This is a 1-dim system in the variables $y_1, \ldots, y_n, x_1, \ldots, x_n$.
- Let R_1, \ldots, R_e be regular chains decomposing the zero set V of (S).

Recall

- The set $\{\lim_{m \to \rho, m \neq \rho} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_{\rho}(\mathcal{C})$
- Consider the system (S) defined by T and $f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-1} = 0$.
- Let R_1, \ldots, R_e be regular chains decomposing the zero set V of (S).

Step 2

• We divide each component of $p \vec{m}$ by $x_1 - y_1$. This works only if $x_1 - y_1$ vanishes finitely many times in V.

Recall

- The set $\{\lim_{m \to \rho, m \neq \rho} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_{\rho}(\mathcal{C})$
- Consider the system (S) defined by T and $f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-1} = 0$.
- Let R_1, \ldots, R_e be regular chains decomposing the zero set V of (S).

- We divide each component of $p \vec{m}$ by $x_1 y_1$. This works only if $x_1 y_1$ vanishes finitely many times in V.
- Fix i = 1 · · · e. If x₁ − y₁ is regular modulo the saturated ideal of R_i, then each compliant of p m can be divided by x₁ − y₁.

Recall

- The set $\{\lim_{m \to \rho, m \neq \rho} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_{\rho}(\mathcal{C})$
- Consider the system (S) defined by T and $f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-1} = 0$.
- Let R_1, \ldots, R_e be regular chains decomposing the zero set V of (S).

- We divide each component of $p \vec{m}$ by $x_1 y_1$. This works only if $x_1 y_1$ vanishes finitely many times in V.
- Fix $i = 1 \cdots e$. If $x_1 y_1$ is regular modulo the saturated ideal of R_i , then each compliant of \vec{pm} can be divided by $x_1 y_1$.
- Assume $x_1 y_1$ is regular modulo the saturated ideal of R_i . Define $s_i = \frac{x_i y_i}{x_1 y_1}$. We have $\vec{u} = (1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$.

Recall

- The set $\{\lim_{m\to\rho,m\neq\rho} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_{\rho}(\mathcal{C})$
- Consider the system (S) defined by T and $f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-1} = 0$.
- Let R_1, \ldots, R_e be regular chains decomposing the zero set V of (S).

- We divide each component of $p \vec{m}$ by $x_1 y_1$. This works only if $x_1 y_1$ vanishes finitely many times in V.
- Fix $i = 1 \cdots e$. If $x_1 y_1$ is regular modulo the saturated ideal of R_i , then each compliant of \vec{pm} can be divided by $x_1 y_1$.
- Assume $x_1 y_1$ is regular modulo the saturated ideal of R_i . Define $s_i = \frac{x_i y_i}{x_1 y_1}$. We have $\vec{u} = (1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$.
- Let s_2, \ldots, s_n be variables; extend R_j with the polynomials $s_2(x_1 y_1) (x_2 y_2), \ldots, s_n(x_1 y_1) (x_n y_n)$ to a chain S_j .

Recall

- The set $\{\lim_{m \to \rho, m \neq \rho} \vec{u}\}$ describes $TC_{\rho}(\mathcal{C})$
- Consider the system (S) defined by T and $f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-1} = 0$.
- Let R_1, \ldots, R_e be regular chains decomposing the zero set V of (S).

- We divide each component of $p \vec{m}$ by $x_1 y_1$. This works only if $x_1 y_1$ vanishes finitely many times in V.
- Fix $i = 1 \cdots e$. If $x_1 y_1$ is regular modulo the saturated ideal of R_i , then each compliant of \vec{pm} can be divided by $x_1 y_1$.
- Assume $x_1 y_1$ is regular modulo the saturated ideal of R_i . Define $s_i = \frac{x_i y_i}{x_1 y_1}$. We have $\vec{u} = (1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$.
- Let s_2, \ldots, s_n be variables; extend R_j with the polynomials $s_2(x_1 y_1) (x_2 y_2), \ldots, s_n(x_1 y_1) (x_n y_n)$ to a chain S_j .
- Finally $\{\lim_{m\to p, m\neq p} \vec{u}\}$ is given by the limit points of the S_j 's, that is, the sets $\overline{W(S_j)} \setminus W(S_j)$.

Example

$$\begin{array}{l} \hline R & \coloneqq PolynomialRing([x, y, z]^{\mathbb{N}}):\\ F & \coloneqq [x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} - 1, x^{2} - y^{2} - z^{*}(z-1)];\\ rc & \coloneqq Chain([z-1, y, x], Empty(R), R);\\ F & \coloneqq [x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} - 1, x^{2} - y^{2} - z(z-1)]\\ rc & \coloneqq regular_chain \end{array}$$

> with (AlgebraicGeometryTools); [Cylindrify, IntersectionMultiplicity, IsTransverse, LimitPoints, RootOfToRegularChain, Tang TangentPlane, TriangularizeWithMultiplicity]

> cases := TangentCone(rc, F, R);

Summary

Theorem

Consider a one-dimensional regular chain R_1 solving the system $f_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \cdots = f_{n-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ at a point $p(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ given by a zero-dimensional T such that $V(T) \subseteq V(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$. W.o.l.g. $x_1 - y_1$ is regular modulo $sat(R_i)$. Then, each line of $TC_p(C)$ not contained in the hyperplane $x_1 = y_1$ has his slopes s_2, \ldots, s_n obtained by lim(W(S)) where S is the regular chain (for $y_1 < \cdots < y_n < x_1 < \cdots < x_n < s_2 < \cdots < s_n$) $S = R_1 \cup \{s_2(x_1 - y_1) - (x_2 - y_2), \ldots, s_n(x_1 - y_1) - (x_n - y_n)\}$

Remarks

Additional computations are needed to capture the lines contained in

- $x_1 = y_1$: There are essentially two options:
 - Perform a random linear change of the coordinates so as to assume that, generically, $y_1 = x_1$ contains no lines of $TC_p(C)$.
 - **2** Compute in turn the lines not contained in the hyperplane $y_i = x_i$ for all i = 0, ..., n and remove the duplicates; indeed no lines of the tangent cone can simultaneously satisfy $y_i = x_i$ for all i = 0, ..., n.

Concluding remarks

Theorem (Ssame as before)

Consider a one-dimensional regular chain R_1 solving the system $f_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \cdots = f_{n-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ at a point $p(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ given by a zero-dimensional T such that $V(T) \subseteq V(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$. W.o.l.g. $x_1 - y_1$ is regular modulo sat (R_i) . Then, each line of $TC_p(C)$ not contained in the hyperplane $x_1 = y_1$ has his slopes s_2, \ldots, s_n obtained by $\lim(W(S))$ where S is the regular chain (for $y_1 < \cdots < y_n < x_1 < \cdots < x_n < s_2 < \cdots < s_n$) $S = R_1 \cup \{s_2(x_1 - y_1) - (x_2 - y_2), \ldots, s_n(x_1 - y_1) - (x_n - y_n)\}$

Remarks

- The proposed method reduces tangent cone computation to that of limits of rational functions.
- Thanks to the size estimates on R₁ (X. Dahan, A. Kadri & E. Schost; 2012; and run time estimates on Puiseux series calculation (P. G. Walsh; 2000) the proposed method is singly exponential in the size of the input system f₁,..., f_n.
- Relaxing the one-dimensional constraint is work in progress.