

# Hierarchical Comprehensive Triangular Decomposition

Zhenghong Chen<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoxian Tang<sup>2</sup>, Bican Xia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, China

<sup>2</sup>CAMP, National Institute For Mathematical Sciences, Korea

ICMS 2014  
Seoul, Korea

# Triangular Decomposition

Consider the linear equations

$$8x_1 + 7x_2 - 1 = 0$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 2 = 0$$

Triangular decomposition

$$8x_1 + 7x_2 - 1 = 0$$

$$5x_2 - 7 = 0$$

# Triangular Decomposition

Consider the non-linear equations

$$3x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 - 1 = 0$$

Triangular decomposition

$$3x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$31x_2^4 - 13x_2^2 + 1 = 0$$

# Triangular Decomposition

Let's change the coefficients of the non-linear equations

$$\begin{aligned}5x_1x_2 + 4x_2^2 - 1 &= 0 \\ x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 - 1 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

The triangular decomposition looks similar

$$\begin{aligned}5x_1x_2 + 4x_2^2 - 1 &= 0 \\ 141x_2^4 - 33x_2 + 1 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

# Triangular Decomposition

Let's choose special coefficients

$$-4x_1x_2 + 8x_2^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$x_1^2 - 4x_2^2 - 1 = 0$$

The triangular decomposition looks different

$$16x_1x_2 + 3 = 0$$

$$32x_2^2 - 1 = 0$$

Consider the parametric polynomial equations

$$\mathbf{u}_2 x_1 x_2 + \mathbf{u}_1 x_2^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$x_1^2 + \mathbf{u}_2 x_2^2 - 1 = 0$$

# Comprehensive Triangular Decomposition (CTD)

[Chen, C., Golubitsky, O., Lemaire, F., Moreno Maza, M. and Pan, W, 2007]

- **Step 1.** Compute the “full” solution for  $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ u_1 \succ u_2$

$$\begin{cases} u_2 x_1 x_2 + u_1 x_2^2 - 1 \\ (u_1^2 + u_2^3) x_2^4 - (u_2^2 + 2u_1) x_2^2 + 1 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} u_2 x_1 x_2 + u_1 x_2^2 - 1 \\ (2u_1 + u_2^2) x_2^2 - 1 \\ u_1^2 + u_2^3 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} x_1^2 - 1 \\ u_1 x_2^2 - 1 \\ u_2 \end{cases}$$

- **Step 2.** Partition the parameter space

$$\begin{cases} u_2(u_1^2 + u_2^3) \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} u_1^2 + u_2^3 = 0 \\ u_2(2u_1 + u_2^2) \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} u_2 = 0 \\ u_1 \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

- **Step 3.** Find the related triangular decomposition for each sub space

# Comprehensive Triangular Decomposition (CTD)

[Chen, C., Golubitsky, O., Lemaire, F., Moreno Maza, M. and Pan, W., 2007]

- **Branch 1.**

$$\begin{cases} u_2 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 + u_1 \mathbf{x}_2^2 - 1 \\ (u_1^2 + u_2^3) \mathbf{x}_2^4 - (u_2^2 + 2u_1) \mathbf{x}_2^2 + 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{if } u_2(u_1^2 + u_2^3) \neq 0$$

- **Branch 2.**

$$\begin{cases} u_2 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 + u_1 \mathbf{x}_2^2 - 1 \\ (2u_1 + u_2^2) \mathbf{x}_2^2 - 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{if } u_1^2 + u_2^3 = 0 \wedge u_2(2u_1 + u_2^2) \neq 0$$

- **Branch 3.**

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1^2 - 1 \\ u_1 \mathbf{x}_2^2 - 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{if } u_2 = 0 \wedge u_1 \neq 0$$



# Hierarchical Strategy

- Step 1. Assume  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are generic, compute CTD

$$\text{Branch1. } \begin{cases} u_2 x_1 x_2 + u_1 x_2^2 - 1 \\ (u_1^2 + u_2^3) x_2^4 - (u_2^2 + 2u_1) x_2^2 + 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{if } u_2(u_1^2 + u_2^3) \neq 0$$

- Step 2. Add  $u_2(u_1^2 + u_2^3) = 0$  into the original system and regard  $x_1, x_2, u_1$  as the new variable set. Assume  $u_2$  is generic, compute CTD

$$\text{Branch2. } \begin{cases} u_2(u_2^2 + 2u_1) x_1 x_2 - u_2^2 - u_1 \\ (u_2^2 + 2u_1) x_2^2 - 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{if } u_1^2 + u_2^3 = 0 \wedge u_2(u_2 + 4) \neq 0$$

- Step 3. Add  $u_2(u_2 + 4) = 0, u_2(u_1^2 + u_2^3) = 0$  into the original system and regard  $x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2$  as the new variable set. Compute CTD

$$\text{Branch3. } \begin{cases} 16x_1 x_2 + 3 \\ 32x_2^2 - 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{if } u_2 = -4 \wedge u_1 = 8$$

$$\text{Branch4. } \begin{cases} x_1^2 - 1 \\ u_1 x_2^2 - 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{if } u_2 = 0 \wedge u_1 \neq 0$$

# Experiment

## Comparing HCTD and CTD

|     | benchmark          | $d$ | $n$ | time    |        | ratio  |
|-----|--------------------|-----|-----|---------|--------|--------|
|     |                    |     |     | HCTD    | CTD    |        |
| 1.  | <i>MontesS10</i>   | 3   | 4   | 0.421   | 0.359  | 1.173  |
| 2.  | <i>Maclane</i>     | 3   | 7   | 5.242   | 4.009  | 1.308  |
| 3.  | <i>MontesS11</i>   | 3   | 3   | 0.858   | 0.655  | 1.310  |
| 4.  | <i>MontesS9</i>    | 3   | 3   | 0.693   | 0.468  | 1.474  |
| 5.  | <i>S3</i>          | 4   | 3   | 2.618   | 1.436  | 1.823  |
| 6.  | <i>zhou5</i>       | 4   | 5   | 5.616   | 2.902  | 1.935  |
| 7.  | <i>F6</i>          | 4   | 1   | 0.296   | 0.14   | 2.114  |
| 8.  | <i>Hereman-8-8</i> | 3   | 5   | 96.439  | 10.468 | 9.213  |
| 9.  | <i>F4</i>          | 4   | 2   | 11.637  | 0.375  | 31.032 |
| 10. | <i>MontesS1</i>    | 2   | 2   | 0.016   | 0.     |        |
| 11. | <i>S1</i>          | 3   | 2   | timeout | 4.04   |        |
| 12. | <i>Neural</i>      | 1   | 3   | timeout | 0.188  |        |
| 13. | <i>Gerdt</i>       | 3   | 4   | timeout | 0.842  |        |

- Intel(R) Core(TM) i5 processor (3.20GHz CPU), 2.5 GB RAM
- Windows 7 (32 bit), Maple 17

# Experiment

## Comparing HCTD and CTD

|     | benchmark           | $d$ | $n$ | time   |         | ratio |
|-----|---------------------|-----|-----|--------|---------|-------|
|     |                     |     |     | HCTD   | CTD     |       |
| 14. | <i>Bronstein</i>    | 2   | 2   | 0.015  | 0.219   | 0.068 |
| 15. | <i>F3</i>           | 4   | 1   | 0.063  | 0.905   | 0.070 |
| 16. | <i>Montes12</i>     | 2   | 6   | 0.593  | 7.925   | 0.075 |
| 17. | <i>Montes14</i>     | 1   | 4   | 0.452  | 4.353   | 0.104 |
| 18. | <i>F2</i>           | 2   | 2   | 0.032  | 0.234   | 0.137 |
| 19. | <i>zhou6</i>        | 3   | 3   | 0.031  | 0.218   | 0.142 |
| 20. | <i>SBCD13</i>       | 1   | 3   | 0.015  | 0.094   | 0.160 |
| 21. | <i>Hereman-2</i>    | 1   | 7   | 0.093  | 0.468   | 0.199 |
| 22. | <i>Montes15</i>     | 4   | 8   | 0.187  | 0.889   | 0.210 |
| 23. | <i>AlkashiSinus</i> | 3   | 6   | 0.094  | 0.437   | 0.215 |
| 24. | <i>Montes13</i>     | 3   | 2   | 0.078  | 0.265   | 0.294 |
| 25. | <i>Montes7</i>      | 1   | 3   | 0.046  | 0.156   | 0.295 |
| 26. | <i>zhou1</i>        | 3   | 4   | 0.047  | 0.156   | 0.301 |
| 27. | <i>Montes6</i>      | 2   | 2   | 0.015  | 0.047   | 0.319 |
| 28. | <i>zhou2</i>        | 6   | 7   | 0.671  | 2.09    | 0.321 |
| 29. | <i>Montes5</i>      | 4   | 4   | 0.078  | 0.187   | 0.417 |
| 30. | <i>F5</i>           | 3   | 2   | 0.046  | 0.11    | 0.418 |
| 31. | <i>F8</i>           | 4   | 4   | 0.437  | 1.014   | 0.431 |
| 32. | <i>Lanconelli</i>   | 7   | 4   | 0.28   | 0.546   | 0.513 |
| 33. | <i>SBCD23</i>       | 1   | 3   | 0.202  | 0.344   | 0.587 |
| 34. | <i>Montes16</i>     | 3   | 12  | 1.198  | 1.825   | 0.656 |
| 35. | <i>Montes2</i>      | 1   | 3   | 0.     | 0.      | 1     |
| 36. | <i>Montes4</i>      | 2   | 2   | 0.     | 0.      | 1     |
| 37. | <i>Montes3</i>      | 1   | 2   | 0.     | 0.031   |       |
| 38. | <i>Montes8</i>      | 2   | 2   | 0.     | 0.094   |       |
| 39. | <i>F7</i>           | 3   | 2   | 0.     | 0.016   |       |
| 40. | <i>S2</i>           | 4   | 1   | 44.544 | timeout |       |

# Experiment

## Benefit of Hierarchical Strategy

Some difficult benchmarks

|     | benchmark                     | $d$ | $n$ | time    |         |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----|-----|---------|---------|
|     |                               |     |     | HCTD    | CTD     |
| 41. | <i>Lazard-ascm2001</i>        | 3   | 4   | timeout | timeout |
| 42. | <i>Leykin-1</i>               | 4   | 4   | timeout | timeout |
| 43. | <i>Cheaters-homotopy-easy</i> | 4   | 3   | timeout | timeout |
| 44. | <i>Cheaters-homotopy-hard</i> | 5   | 2   | timeout | timeout |
| 45. | <i>Lazard-ascm2001</i>        | 3   | 4   | timeout | timeout |
| 46. | <i>MontesS18</i>              | 2   | 3   | timeout | timeout |
| 47. | <i>Pavelle</i>                | 4   | 4   | timeout | timeout |
| 48. | <i>p3p</i>                    | 5   | 2   | timeout | timeout |
| 49. | <i>z3</i>                     | 6   | 11  | timeout | timeout |

Timings for getting partial answers

|     | benchmark                     | $d$ | $n$ | time   |                |                |       |                |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|
|     |                               |     |     | Step 1 | Step2          | Step3          | Step4 | Step5          |
| 41. | <i>Lazard-ascm2001</i>        | 3   | 4   | 0.936  | <b>timeout</b> | <b>timeout</b> |       |                |
| 42. | <i>Leykin-1</i>               | 4   | 4   | 0.203  | 20.436         |                |       |                |
| 43. | <i>Cheaters-homotopy-easy</i> | 4   | 3   | 3.681  | <b>timeout</b> |                |       |                |
| 44. | <i>Cheaters-homotopy-hard</i> | 5   | 2   | 39.640 | <b>timeout</b> |                |       |                |
| 45. | <i>Lazard-ascm2001</i>        | 3   | 4   | 0.858  | <b>timeout</b> | 0.015          | 6.549 | <b>timeout</b> |
| 46. | <i>MontesS18</i>              | 2   | 3   | 0.327  | <b>timeout</b> |                |       |                |
| 47. | <i>Pavelle</i>                | 4   | 4   | 0.234  | <b>timeout</b> |                |       |                |
| 48. | <i>p3p</i>                    | 5   | 2   | 0.     | 0.             |                |       |                |
| 49. | <i>z3</i>                     | 6   | 11  | 0.094  | <b>error</b>   |                |       |                |

- Triangular Decomposition
- Comprehensive Triangular Decomposition
- Hierarchical Comprehensive Triangular Decomposition

# Thank You!