

Bounds and algebraic algorithms for ordinary differential characteristic sets

Oleg Golubitsky^a, Marina Kondratieva^b, Marc Moreno Maza^c, and Alexey Ovchinnikov^d

^a: School of Computing, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, K7L 3N6, oleg.golubitsky@gmail.com

^b: Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory, Moscow, Russia, 119992, kondra_m@shade.msu.ru

^c: ORCCA, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada, N6A 5B7, moreno@orcca.on.ca

^d: Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA, 27695-8205, aiovchin@ncsu.edu

ISSAC, July, 2006.



Introduction

The Rosenfeld-Gröbner algorithm [1] is a central tool in constructive differential algebra [2,3]. It computes a *regular decomposition* of a radical differential ideal I , i.e., represents I as an intersection of ideals specified by regular systems [1] of differential polynomials. This decomposition allows to solve the membership problem for I .

No complexity estimates are known for the Rosenfeld-Gröbner algorithm. A first natural step towards estimating the complexity is obtaining a bound on the orders of derivatives in the polynomials computed by the Rosenfeld-Gröbner algorithm. For the particular cases of linear systems and systems with two polynomials in two differential indeterminates, relevant bounds are in the works of Jacobi, Ritt [2], Cohn [4], Lando, and Tomasovic. **We prove a bound, which holds for all systems of ordinary differential polynomials in n differential indeterminates.**

The performance of Rosenfeld-Gröbner significantly depends on the *ranking* on derivatives. Usually, *elimination rankings* yield more expensive computations than *orderly rankings*. So, the problem of efficient *transformation* of a regular decomposition of a radical differential ideal from one ranking to another arises.

For a prime differential ideal, the problem reduces to the transformation of its *characteristic set* [5,6,7]. We propose a different approach: **an algorithm that transforms the characteristic set algebraically**, taking advantage of the existing efficient techniques for the algebraic case. We have also generalized this approach to address the problem of algebraic transformation of a characteristic decomposition [8] of a radical differential ideal from one ranking to another (omitted in this poster).

Basic concepts

We treat the **ordinary case**, i.e.:

- \mathbf{k} differential field of *characteristic zero* with derivation $\delta : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$
- $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ set of differential indeterminates
- $\Theta Y = \{\delta^i y_j \mid i \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ set of derivatives
- $\mathbf{k}\{Y\} = \mathbf{k}[\Theta Y]$ the ring of differential polynomials over \mathbf{k} in n differential indeterminates
- Ranking \leq on derivatives ΘY

- For a differential polynomial f :
 - $\text{lv } f \in Y$ leading variable of f
 - $\text{ld } f = \theta \text{lv } f \in \Theta Y$ leader of f
 - $\text{rk } f = (\text{ld } f)^d$ rank of f
 - \mathbf{i}_f initial of f , \mathbf{s}_f separant of f , $H_f = \{\mathbf{i}_f, \mathbf{s}_f\}$
- For a set of differential polynomials A :
 - $\text{lv } A$, $\text{ld } A$, etc., denote the set of leading variables, the set of leaders, etc., of the elements of A
- Pseudo-reduction relations:
 - f is algebraically reduced w.r.t. A , if no element of $\text{rk } A$ divides a monomial in f
 - f is differentially reduced w.r.t. A , if f is algebraically reduced w.r.t. ΘA
- Algebraically and differentially autoreduced sets
- Characteristic set of F (algebraic or differential): an autoreduced set $A \subset F$ such that no $f \in F$ is reduced w.r.t. A . When the differential characteristic set of F is unique, we denote it $\text{difchar } F$
- Saturated ideals:
 - H^∞ set of all finite products of elements of H
 - $I : H^\infty = \{f \mid \exists h \in H^\infty \ h f \in I\}$
- Pseudo-remainders of f w.r.t. A :
 - $\text{alghrem}(f, A)$ algebraic pseudo-remainder
 - $\text{d-rem}(f, A)$ differential pseudo-remainder
 - $\text{d-rem}(f, A) = \text{alghrem}(f, \Theta A)$
- Regular system (\mathbf{A}, H) and ideal $[\mathbf{A}] : H^\infty$:
 - \mathbf{A} is autoreduced
 - H is reduced w.r.t. \mathbf{A} and contains $H_{\mathbf{A}}$.

The original Rosenfeld-Gröbner algorithm [1] adapted for the ordinary case:

Algorithm Rosenfeld-Gröbner (F_0, H_0)

INPUT: finite sets of differential polynomials F_0, H_0 and a differential ranking

OUTPUT: a finite set T of regular systems such that

$$\{F_0\} : H_0^\infty = \bigcap_{(\mathbf{A}, H) \in T} [\mathbf{A}] : H^\infty$$

```

T := ∅, U := {(F_0, H_0)}
while U ≠ ∅ do
  | Take and remove any (F, H) ∈ U
  | C := characteristic set of F
  | F̄ := d-rem(F \ C, C) \ {0}
  | H̄ := d-rem(H, C) ∪ H_C
  | if F̄ ∩ k = ∅ and 0 ∉ H̄ then
  | | if F̄ = ∅ then T := T ∪ {(C, H̄)}
  | | else U := U ∪ {(F̄ ∪ C, H̄)}
  | U := U ∪ {(F ∪ {h}, H) | h ∈ H_C, h ∉ k ∪ H}
return T
    
```

Bound for the Rosenfeld-Gröbner algorithm

We modify Rosenfeld-Gröbner (based on [8]) to satisfy the following bound. For a differential indeterminate $y \in Y$, let $m_y(F)$ be the maximal order of derivatives of y occurring in F . Let $M(F) = \sum_{y \in Y} m_y(F)$. Then at any intermediate stage of the algorithm

$$M(T \cup U) \leq (n-1)! \cdot M(F_0 \cup H_0). \quad (1)$$

Algorithm RGBound (F_0, H_0)

```

T := ∅, U := {(F_0, ∅, H_0)}
while U ≠ ∅ do
  | Take and remove any (F, C, H) ∈ U
  | f := an element of F of the least rank
  | D := {g ∈ C | lv g = lv f}
  | G := F ∪ D \ {f}
  | C̄ := C \ D ∪ {f}
  | B := Prolongation(C̄, {m_y(G ∪ C̄ ∪ H) | y ∈ Y})
  | if B ≠ {1} then
  | | F̄ := alghrem(G, B) \ {0}
  | | H̄ := alghrem(H, B) ∪ H_B
  | | if F̄ ∩ k = ∅ and 0 ∉ H̄ then
  | | | if F̄ = ∅ then T := T ∪ {(difchar B, H̄)}
  | | | else U := U ∪ {(F̄, difchar B, H̄)}
  | U := U ∪ {(F ∪ {h}, H) | h ∈ H_f, h ∉ k ∪ H}
return T
    
```

Main changes:

- In the original algorithm, set \mathbf{C} was autoreduced; in Algorithm RGBound, we can only claim that the leading variables of its elements are distinct. As a result, when new pseudo-remainders are replacing old elements of \mathbf{C} , no indeterminates disappear from $\text{lv } \mathbf{C}$, i.e., $\text{lv } \bar{\mathbf{C}} \supseteq \text{lv } \mathbf{C}$.
- $\text{d-rem}(F \setminus \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C})$ is replaced by $\text{alghrem}(G, \mathbf{B})$. Here G plays the role of $F \setminus \mathbf{C}$, while \mathbf{B} is a *differentially* and *autoreduced* set \mathbf{C} computed by Algorithm Prolongation in such a way that:
 - it can be used in alghrem as \mathbf{C} in d-rem
 - the orders of derivatives of non-leading variables $y \in Y \setminus \text{lv } \bar{\mathbf{C}}$ occurring in \mathbf{B} satisfy

$$m_y(\mathbf{B}) \leq m_y(\bar{\mathbf{C}}) + \sum_{z \in \text{lv } \bar{\mathbf{C}}} [m_z(G \cup \bar{\mathbf{C}} \cup H) - m_z(\text{ld } \bar{\mathbf{C}})].$$

This inequality is the key for proving bound (1).

Algebraic transformation of characteristic sets

1. Given a characteristic set \mathbf{C} of a prime differential ideal I w.r.t. a ranking \leq .
2. The orders of derivatives occurring in the canonical characteristic set [9] \mathbf{D} of I w.r.t. any other ranking \leq' do not exceed the bound

$$M := |\mathbf{C}| \cdot \max_{f \in \mathbf{C}} \text{ord } f.$$

3. Algebraic ideal $\bar{I} = I \cap \mathbf{k}\{Y\}_M$, where $\mathbf{k}\{Y\}_M$ is the subring of differential polynomials of order $\leq M$ w.r.t. $\text{lv } \mathbf{C}$, contains \mathbf{D} .
4. Compute an algebraic characteristic set of \bar{I} w.r.t. \leq :

$$\mathbf{A} := \{\text{alghrem}(f, \Theta \mathbf{C} \setminus \{f\}) \mid f \in \Theta \mathbf{C}, \text{ord } \text{ld } f \leq M\}.$$
5. Given \mathbf{A} , compute the canonical algebraic characteristic set \mathbf{B} of \bar{I} w.r.t. \leq' , applying one of the existing efficient algebraic algorithms, e.g. [10,11].
6. $\text{difchar } \mathbf{B}$ is the canonical characteristic set of I w.r.t. \leq' .

References

- [1] F. Boulier, D. Lazard, F. Ollivier, M. Petitot, Representation for the radical of a finitely generated differential ideal, Proc. ISSAC 1995.
- [2] J.F. Ritt, *Differential Algebra*, Dover, 1950.
- [3] E.R. Kolchin, *Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups*, Academic Press, 1973.
- [4] R. Cohn, The Greenspan bound for the order of differential systems, Proc. AMS, 79(4), 1980.
- [5] F. Boulier, Efficient computation of regular differential systems by change of rankings using Kähler differentials, TR Université Lille, 1999.
- [6] F. Boulier, F. Lemaire, M. Moreno Maza, PARDI! TR LIFL 2001.
- [7] O. Golubitsky, Gröbner walk for characteristic sets of prime differential ideals, Proc. CASC 2004.
- [8] E. Hubert, Notes on triangular sets and triangulation-decomposition algorithms II: Differential Systems, Symbolic and Numerical Scientific Computing 2001.
- [9] O. Golubitsky, M. Kondratieva, A. Ovchinnikov, Canonical characteristic sets of characterizable differential ideals, Preprint, 2006.
- [10] X. Dahan, X. Jin, M. Moreno Maza, É Schost, Change of ordering for regular chains in positive dimension, Proc. Maple Conf. 2006 (to appear).
- [11] F. Lemaire, M. Moreno Maza, Y. Xie, The RegularChains library, Proc. Maple Conf. 2005.