

Substitution and Unification

Summary

- Substitution and Unification [Chang-Lee Ch. 5.3]
- Unification Algorithm [Chang-Lee Ch. 5.4]

Finding complementary literals

need of unification

- To apply resolution we need to find complementary literals:
 $L_1 = P, L_2 = \neg P$
- This is not a problem for ground or propositional clauses
- When variables are involved things get more complicated
- It is not obvious to decide whether two literals are complementary

Example

Example (complementary literals with variables)

$$C_1 = P(x) \vee Q(x), \quad C_2 = \neg P(f(y)) \vee R(y)$$

There is no complementary literal, but:

$$C'_1 = P(x = f(a)) \vee Q(x = f(a)), \quad C'_2 = \neg P(f(y = a)) \vee R(y = a)$$

Then C'_1 and C'_2 are ground instances of C_1 and C_2 , and $P(f(a))$ and $\neg P(f(a))$ are complementary.

Example, contd.

Example (complementary literals with variables)

Then we can apply resolution and obtain:

$$\frac{P(f(a)) \vee Q(f(a)) \quad \neg P(f(a)) \vee R(a)}{Q(f(a)) \vee R(a)}$$

Where $C'_3 = Q(f(a)) \vee R(a)$ is a resolvent for C'_1 and C'_2

Example, contd.

Example (complementary literals with variables)

More in general, we can substitute $x = f(y)$ in C_1 and obtain $C_1^* = P(f(y)) \vee Q(f(y))$

$$\frac{P(f(y)) \vee Q(f(y)) \quad \neg P(f(y)) \vee R(y)}{Q(f(y)) \vee R(y)}$$

C_1^* is an **instance** of C_1 and C_3' is a (ground) instance of $C_3 = Q(f(y)) \vee R(y)$

- By substituting appropriate terms we can generate new clauses for C_1 and C_2
- By applying resolution to such clauses we obtain other clauses which will all be instance of C_3 .
- C_3 is the **most general** clause and is called a **resolvent** of C_1 and C_2 .

Substitutions

Substitutions

To obtain a resolvent from clauses containing variables we need to **substitute** variables with terms, and apply resolution.

Definition (Substitution)

A **substitution** is a finite set $\{t_1/v_1, \dots, t_n/v_n\}$ where every v_i is a variable and every t_i is a term, different from v_i , and no two elements in the set have the same variable after the / symbol.

Example, contd.

Example (Substitution)

- $\{f(z)/x, y/z\}$ is a substitution
- $\{a/x, g(y)/y, f(g(b))/z\}$ is a substitution
- $\{y/x, g(b)/y\}$ is a substitution
- $\{a/x, g(y)/x, f(g(b))/z\}$ is not a substitution
- $\{g(y)/x, z/f(g(b))\}$ is not a substitution

Ground and Empty substitutions

Definition (Ground substitution)

A substitution $\{t_1/v_1, \dots, t_n/v_n\}$ is **ground** when $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ are all ground terms.

Example (Ground Substitution)

- $\{f(a)/x, b/z\}$ is a ground substitution
- $\{a/x, g(b)/y, f(g(b))/z\}$ is a ground substitution

Definition (Empty substitution)

A substitution that contains no element $\{\}$ is the **empty** substitution, we denote the empty substitution with ϵ .

Instances of clauses

Definition (Instance)

Let $\theta = \{t_1/v_1, \dots, t_n/v_n\}$ be a substitution and let E be an expression. Then $E\theta$ is an expression obtained by replacing **simultaneously** all occurrences of every v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, in E with the corresponding term t_i . $E\theta$ is an instance of E .

Example

Example (Instances)

- Let $\theta = \{a/x, f(b)/y, c/z\}$ and $E = P(x, y, z)$ then $E\theta = P(a, f(b), c)$ is an instance of E
- Let $\lambda = \{f(f(a))/x\}$ and $C = P(x) \vee Q(g(x))$ then $G\lambda = P(f(f(a))) \vee Q(g(f(f(a))))$ is an instance of C
- Let $\gamma = \{y/x, f(b)/y\}$ and $R = P(x) \vee Q(y)$ then $R\gamma = P(y) \vee Q(f(b))$ is an instance of R

Notes

- Definition of **ground** instance of a clause is compatible with definition of **instance** given here.
- substitution is **simultaneous**. If not simultaneous we could have different outcomes
$$R\gamma = P(x \leftarrow y \leftarrow f(b)) \vee Q(y \leftarrow f(b))$$

Composition

Composition

Let $\theta = \{t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n\}$ and $\lambda = \{u_1/y_1, \dots, u_m/y_m\}$ be two substitutions. Then the **composition** of θ and λ is denoted by $\theta \circ \lambda$, and is obtained by building the set $\{t_1\lambda/x_1, \dots, t_n\lambda/x_n, u_n/y_1, \dots, u_m/y_m\}$ and deleting the following elements:

- any element $t_j\lambda/x_j$ such that $t_j\lambda = x_j$
- any element u_i/y_i such that y_i is in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

Example

Example (composition)

Given:

- $\theta = \{t_1/x_1, t_2/x_2\} = \{f(y)/x, z/y\}$
- $\lambda = \{u_1/y_1, u_2/y_2, u_3/y_3\} = \{a/x, b/y, y/z\}$

We build the following set:

$$\{t_1\lambda/x_1, t_2\lambda/x_2, u_1/y_1, u_2/y_2, u_3/y_3\} = \{f(b)/x, y/y, a/x, b/y, y/z\}$$

We remove the proper elements:

- $t_j\lambda/x_j$ such that $t_j\lambda = x_j$ therefore we remove y/y
- u_i/y_i such that y_i is in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ therefore we remove a/x and b/y

Finally,

$$\theta \circ \lambda = \{f(b)/x, y/z\}$$

Properties of composition

associativeness

Let θ , λ and μ be substitutions we have that $(\theta \circ \lambda) \circ \mu = \theta \circ (\lambda \circ \mu)$

Example

Let $\theta = \{f(y)/x\}$, $\lambda = \{z/y\}$ and $\mu = \{a/z\}$. We have

- $\phi = \theta \circ \lambda = \{f(y)\lambda/x, z/y\} = \{f(z)/x, z/y\}$ and
 $\phi \circ \mu = \{f(z)\mu/x, z\mu/y, a/z\} = \{f(a)/x, a/y, a/z\}$
- $\phi' = \lambda \circ \mu = \{z\mu/y, a/z\} = \{a/y, a/z\}$ and
 $\theta \circ \phi' = \{f(y)\phi'/x, a/y, a/z\} = \{f(a)/x, a/y, a/z\}$

Identity of empty substitution

Let θ be a substitution then $\epsilon \circ \theta = \theta \circ \epsilon = \theta$

Unification and substitutions

Unifying expressions using substitutions

- When using resolution we need to match or **unify** expressions to find complementary pairs of literals.
- This can be done by applying proper substitutions to relevant expression to make them identical

Example

Let $C_1 = P(x) \vee Q(x)$ and $C_2 = \neg P(f(y)) \vee Q(y)$, and let $L_1 = P(x)$, $\neg L_2 = P(f(y))$

- By applying $\theta = \{f(y)/x\}$.
- We have that $L_1\theta = \neg L_2\theta = P(f(y))$.

Unifier

Definition (Unifier)

A substitution θ is called a unifier for a set $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$ iff $E_1\theta = E_2\theta = \dots = E_k\theta$. The set $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$ is unifiable iff there exists a unifier for it.

Example

The set $\{P(x), P(f(y))\}$ is unifiable and $\theta = \{f(y)/x\}$ is a unifier for it, because $P(x)\theta = P(f(y))\theta = P(f(y))$

Most General Unifier

Definition (MGF)

A unifier θ is a most general unifier for a set $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$ iff for each unifier λ there exists a substitution μ such that $\lambda = \theta \circ \mu$.

Example

Consider the set $\{P(x), P(f(y))\}$ and $\lambda = \{f(f(z))/x, f(z)/y\}$.

- λ is a unifier because $P(x)\lambda = P(f(y))\lambda = P(f(f(z)))$
- $\theta = \{f(y)/x\}$ is a unifier and is a most general unifier
- for example, we can find $\mu = \{f(z)/y\}$ such that $\theta \circ \mu = \{f(y)\mu/x, f(z)/y\} = \{f(f(z))/x, f(z)/y\} = \lambda$

Example: Most General Unifier

Example

Consider the set $\{P(a, y), P(x, f(b))\}$

- The set is unifiable
- $\theta = \{a/x, f(b)/y\}$ is a (most general) unifier for the set.

An algorithm for Unification

Unification Algorithm

- Need a procedure to find a MGU given a set of expressions
- Requirements:
 - stop after a finite number of steps
 - return an MGU if the set is unifiable
 - state that the set is not unifiable otherwise
- There are many possibilities
- We go for a recursive procedure.

Basic ideas

Basic ideas

- Given a set of expressions $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$
- Find a **disagreement set**
- Build a substitution that can eliminate the disagreement

Disagreement elimination: Example

Example (Disagreement elimination)

Consider the set $\{P(a), P(x)\}$. These expressions are not identical.

- They disagree because of the arguments a and x
- The disagreement set here is $\{a, x\}$
- Since x is a variable, we can eliminate this disagreement by using the substitution $\theta = \{a/x\}$
- $P(a)\theta = P(x)\theta = P(a)$

Disagreement set

Definition (Disagreement Set)

The disagreement set of a nonempty set of expressions W is obtained by finding the first position (starting from the left) at which not all the expressions in the W have the same symbol. We then extract, from each expression, the sub-expression that begins with the symbol occupying that position. The set of these sub-expressions is the **Disagreement Set**.

Example (Disagreement Set)

Consider the set $\{P(a), P(x)\}$, the **Disagreement Set** is $\{a, x\}$. because the first position at which the string of symbols $P(a)$ and $P(x)$ differ is the position number 3. The sub-expression starting from position 3 is a and x respectively.

Example

Example (Disagreement Set)

Find the Disagreement Set for

$$W = \{P(x, f(y, z)), P(x, a), P(x, g(h(k(x))))\}$$

Example

Example (Disagreement Set)

Find the Disagreement Set for

$$W = \{P(x, f(y, z)), P(x, a), P(x, g(h(k(x))))\}$$

Sol.

$$D = \{f(y, z), a, g(h(k(x)))\}$$

Unification Algorithm: Basic Steps

Basic Steps

- 1 Set $k = 0$, $W_0 = W$ and $\sigma_0 = \epsilon$
- 2 If W_k is a **singleton**, STOP, σ_k is a MGU. Otherwise, find the disagreement set D_k for W_k .
- 3 If there is a pair $\langle v_k, t_k \rangle$ such that $v_k, t_k \in D_k$, v_k is a variable that **does not occur** in t_k go to step 4, otherwise STOP, W is not unifiable.
- 4 Let $\sigma_{k+1} = \sigma_k \circ \{t_k/v_k\}$ and $W_{k+1} = W_k\{t_k/v_k\}$.
- 5 Set $k = k + 1$ go to step 2.

Note

In step 4 $W_{k+1} = W_k\{t_k/v_k\} = W\sigma_{k+1}$ because composition of substitutions is associative.

Example

Example (Unification Algorithm)

Find a most general unifier for the set
 $W = \{P(a, y), P(x, f(b))\}$

Example

Example (Unification Algorithm)

Find a most general unifier for the set
 $W = \{P(a, y), P(x, f(b))\}$

Sol.

$$\theta = \{a/x, f(b)/y\}$$

Example II

Example (Unification Algorithm)

Find a most general unifier for the set

$$W = \{P(a, x, f(g(y))), P(z, f(z), f(u))\}$$

Example II

Example (Unification Algorithm)

Find a most general unifier for the set
 $W = \{P(a, x, f(g(y))), P(z, f(z), f(u))\}$

Sol.

$$\theta = \{a/z, f(a)/x, g(y)/u\}$$

Example III

Example (Unification Algorithm)

Determine whether or not the set

$W = \{Q(f(a), g(x)), Q(y, y)\}$ is unifiable.

Example III

Example (Unification Algorithm)

Determine whether or not the set

$W = \{Q(f(a), g(x)), Q(y, y)\}$ is unifiable.

Sol.

W is not unifiable

Unification Algorithm: Termination

Termination

The unification algorithm will always terminate after a finite number of steps.

- Otherwise we will have an infinite sequence
 $W\sigma_0, W\sigma_1, W\sigma_2, \dots$
- Each step eliminates one variable from W (specifically $W\sigma_k$ contains v_k but $W\sigma_{k+1}$ does not)
- And W has a finite number of variable
- Thus the algorithm will always terminate: returning a MGU or stating W is not unifiable

Unification Algorithm: Correctness

Theorem (Correctness of Unification Algorithm)

If W is a finite, non empty, unifiable set of expressions, the unification algorithm will always terminate with σ_k a MGU for W .

basic idea.

We can prove by induction on k that for any θ which is a unifier we have $\theta = \sigma_k \circ \lambda_k$ □

Exercises Unification algorithm

Exercise

Determine whether each of the following set of expressions is unifiable. If yes give a MGU

1 $W = \{Q(a, x, f(x)), Q(a, y, y)\}$

2 $W = \{Q(x, y, z), Q(u, h(v, v), u)\}$