

Tutorial #8

Problem 1 (Summation) Use mathematical induction to show that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2n} (2j+1) = (2n+1)^2,$$

for all positive integers n . Provide detailed justifications for your answer.

Solution 1 We shall prove for an arbitrary positive integer n the property $P(n)$ below holds:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2n} (2j+1) = (2n+1)^2.$$

Basis step: For $n = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^2 (2j+1) = 1 + 3 + 5 = 9 = (2+1)^2.$$

Hence the property $P(n)$ holds for $n = 1$.

Recursive step: Let us prove that for all $k \geq 1$ if $P(k)$ holds then so does $P(k+1)$. So let $k \geq 1$, let assume that $P(k)$ holds, that is,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2k} (2j+1) = (2k+1)^2,$$

and let us prove that $P(k+1)$ holds as well, that is:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} (2j+1) = (2k+3)^2,$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{2(k+1)} (2j+1) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2k} (2j+1) + 2(2k+1) + 1 + 2(2k+2) + 1 \\ &= (2k+1)^2 + 8k + 8. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(2k+3)^2 = (2k+1)^2 + 8k + 8$, we deduce that $P(k+1)$ holds indeed.

Therefore, we have proved by induction that for all positive integer n , the property $P(n)$ holds.

Problem 2 (Summation) Show by induction that for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (i+1) = \frac{n(n+3)}{2} \quad (1)$$

Solution 2 http://www.csd.uwo.ca/~moreno/cs2214_moreno/tut/Problem_1.PDF

Problem 3 (Inequality) Prove by induction that for all $n \geq 3$ we have

$$4^{n-1} > n^2 \quad (2)$$

Solution 3 <https://www.iitutor.com/mathematical-induction-inequality/>

Problem 4 (Inequality) Prove by induction that for all $n \geq 3$ we have

$$n^2 \geq 2n + 3 \quad (3)$$

Solution 4 <https://www.csm.ornl.gov/~sheldon/ds/ans2.3.2.html>

Problem 5 (Divisibility) Prove by induction that for all $n \geq 1$ the integer $6^n - 1$ is divisible by 5.

Solution 5 <http://home.cc.umanitoba.ca/~thomas/Courses/InductionExamples-Solutions.pdf>

Problem 6 (Incorrect proof) Here is an incorrect proof of the statement:

All people have the same eye color.

Proof by induction: we prove the statement "All members of any non-empty set of people have the same eye color".

1. This is clearly true for any singleton set, that is, any set with a single element.
2. Now, assume we have a non-empty set S of people, and the inductive hypothesis is true for all smaller sets. Choose an ordering on the set, and let S_1 be the set formed by removing the first person, and S_2 be the set formed by removing the last person. All members of S_1 have the same eye color, and also for S_2 . However, $S_1 \cap S_2$ has members from both sets, so all members of S have the same eye color.

Explain what is incorrect in the above reasoning.

Solution 6 Let $P(n)$ be the property that any n persons have the same eye color, where n is a positive integer. While $P(1)$ is true, the above reasoning breaks for $P(2)$. Indeed, when applied to $n = 2$, this reasoning considers two sets S_1 and S_2 , each of which consisting of a single person so that $S_1 \cap S_2$ is empty.

Problem 7 (Counting tree leaves) The set of leaves and the set of internal vertices of a full binary tree are defined recursively as follows:

Basis step: The root r is a leaf of the full binary tree with exactly one vertex r . This tree has no internal vertices.

Recursive step: The set of leaves of the tree $T = T_1 \cdot T_2$ is the union of the sets of leaves of T_1 and T_2 . The internal vertices of T are the root r of T and the union of the set of internal vertices of T_1 and the set of internal vertices of T_2 .

Use structural induction to prove that $\ell(T)$, the number of leaves of a full binary tree T , is 1 more than $i(T)$, the number of internal vertices of T .

Solution 7 We shall prove that, for an arbitrary full binary tree T , its number of leaves $\ell(T)$ satisfies the property $\mathcal{P}(T)$ below:

$$\ell(T) = i(T) + 1.$$

Basis step: The root r is a leaf and has no internal vertices, that is, $\ell(T) = 1$ and $i(T) = 0$, hence it satisfies $\ell(T) = i(T) + 1$.

Recursive step: Let $T = T_1 \cdot T_2$ be a full binary tree built from two full binary trees T_1, T_2 . We shall prove that, if $\mathcal{P}(T_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}(T_2)$ both hold, then so does $\mathcal{P}(T)$. So, let us assume that $\mathcal{P}(T_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}(T_2)$ both hold. By definition of $\ell(T)$, we have:

$$\ell(T) = \ell(T_1) + \ell(T_2).$$

By induction hypothesis, we have:

$$\ell(T_1) = i(T_1) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(T_2) = i(T_2) + 1$$

By definition of $i(T)$, we have:

$$i(T) = i(T_1) + i(T_2) + 1$$

Putting everything together:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(T) &= \ell(T_1) + \ell(T_2) \\ &= i(T_1) + 1 + i(T_2) + 1 \\ &= i(T) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have proved that $\mathcal{P}(T)$ holds.

Therefore, we have proved by induction that for all binary trees we have the number of leaves is 1 more than the number of internal vertices.

Problem 8 Consider the set S of strings over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$ defined inductively as follows:

- Base case: the empty word λ and the word a belong to S
 - Inductive rule: if ω is a string of S then both ωb and $\omega b a$ belong to S as well.
1. Prove that if a string ω belongs to S , then ω does not have two or more consecutive a 's.
 2. Prove that for any $n \geq 0$, if ω is a string of length n over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$ that does not have two or more consecutive a 's, then ω is a string of S .

Solution 8

1. Let ω be any word over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$. Denote by $P(\omega)$ the property that ω does not have two or more consecutive a 's. Consider first a word ω in the base case. Thus, ω is either λ or a . Hence, the property $P(\omega)$ clearly holds for ω . Consider now a word ω obtained by applying the inductive rule. Hence ω is either of the $\omega' b$ or $\omega' b a$. We want to prove that if $P(\omega')$ holds then so does $P(\omega)$. Clearly, if ω would have two or more consecutive a 's the same would need to hold for ω' , which would be a contradiction. Hence $P(\omega)$ holds.
2. Let $n \geq 0$. Denote by $Q(n)$ the property that any word over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$ with length n not having two or more consecutive a 's belongs to S . Consider first $n = 0$. The only word of length zero is the empty word λ which (1) does not have two or more consecutive

a's, and (2) belongs to S . Hence $Q(0)$ holds. Let $k \geq 0$. Assume that $Q(0), \dots, Q(k)$ holds and let us prove that $Q(k+1)$ holds as well. Hence, we consider any word ω with length $k+1$ and which does not have two or more consecutive a's. Either ω has the form $\omega' b$ or the form $\omega'' b a$ where ω' has length k and ω'' has length $k-1$. Neither ω' nor ω'' can have two or more consecutive a's. Hence by inductive hypothesis, they belong to S . Thus, by the inductive rule defining S , it follows that $\omega' b$ or the form $\omega'' b a$ belong to S as well. Therefore, we have proved that $Q(k+1)$ holds as well.

Problem 9 (Exponential growth of the Fibonacci numbers) Recall that $F_0 = 1, F_1 = 1$ and that for all $n \geq 2$ we have $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$. Prove that $F_n > (\frac{2}{3})^{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Solution 9 Last two slides.