# Induction and recursion Chapter 5 

With Question/Answer Animations

## Chapter Summary

- Mathematical Induction
- Strong Induction
- Well-Ordering
- Recursive Definitions
- Structural Induction
- Recursive Algorithms


## Mathematical Induction

Section 5.1

## Section Summary

- Mathematical Induction
- Examples of Proof by Mathematical Induction
- Mistaken Proofs by Mathematical Induction
- Guidelines for Proofs by Mathematical Induction


## Climbing an

## Infinite Ladder

Suppose we have an infinite ladder:

1. We can reach the first rung of the ladder.
2. If we can reach a particular rung of the ladder, then we can reach the next rung.

From (1), we can reach the first rung. Then by applying (2), we can reach the second rung. Applying (2) again, the third rung. And so on.
We can apply (2) any number of times so that we can reach any particular rung, no matter how high up.

This example motivates proof by mathematical induction.


## Principle of Mathematical Induction

Principle of Mathematical Induction:
To prove that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers $n$, we complete these steps:

- Basis Step: Show that $P(1)$ is true.
- Inductive Step: Show that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true for all positive integers $k$.

To complete the inductive step, assuming the inductive hypothesis that $P(k)$ holds for an arbitrary integer $k$, show that must $P(k+1)$ be true.

## Climbing an Infinite Ladder Example:

- BASIS STEP: By (1), we can reach rung 1.
- INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume the inductive hypothesis that we can reach rung $k$. Then by (2), we can reach rung $k+1$.
Hence, $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true for all positive integers $k$. We can reach every rung on the ladder.


## Important Points About Using

## Mathematical Induction

- Mathematical induction can be expressed as the rule of inference

$$
(P(1) \wedge \forall k(P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1))) \rightarrow \quad \forall n P(n),
$$ where the domain is the set of positive integers.

- In a proof by mathematical induction, we don't assume that $P(k)$ is true for all positive integers! We show that if we assume that $P(k)$ is true, then $P(k+1)$ must also be true.
- Proofs by mathematical induction do not always start at the integer 1. In such a case, the basis step begins at a starting point $b$ where $b$ is an integer. We will see examples of this soon.


## Validity of Mathematical Induction

- Mathematical induction is valid because of the well ordering property, which states that "every nonempty subset of the set of positive integers has a least element".

Here is the proof (by contradiction) that mathematical induction is valid:

- Suppose that $P(1)$ holds and $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true for all positive integers $k$.
- Assume there is at least one positive integer $n$ for which $\mathrm{P}(n)$ is false. Then the set $S$ of positive integers for which $\mathrm{P}(n)$ is false is nonempty.
- By the well-ordering property, $S$ has a least element, say $m$.
- We know that $m$ can not be 1 since $P(1)$ holds.
- Since $m$ is positive and greater than $1, m-1$ must be a positive integer. Since $m-1<m$, it is not in S , so $P(m-1)$ must be true.
- But then, since the conditional $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ for every positive integer $k$ holds, $P(m)$ must also be true. This contradicts $P(m)$ being false.
- Hence, $P(n)$ must be true for every positive integer $n$.


## Remembering How Mathematical

## Induction Works

Consider an infinite sequence of dominoes, labeled $1,2,3, \ldots$, where each domino is standing.

Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that the $n$-th domino is knocked over.


We know that the first domino is knocked down, i.e., $P(1)$ is true .

We also know that if whenever the $k$-th domino is knocked over, it knocks over the ( $k+1$ )-st domino, i.e, $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true for all positive integers $k$.

Hence, all dominos are knocked over.
$P(n)$ is true for all positive integers $n$.

## Proving a Summation Formula by

## Mathematical Induction

Example: Show that: $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} i=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ Solution:

Note: Once we have this conjecture, mathematical induction can be used to prove it correct.

- BASIS STEP: $P(1)$ is true since $1(1+1) / 2=1$.
- INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume true for $P(k)$.

The inductive hypothesis is
Under this assumption,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} i=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+2+\ldots+k+(k+1) & =\frac{k(k+1)}{2}+(k+1) \\
& =\frac{k(k+1)+2(k+1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \quad \text { that is, true for } P(k+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conjecturing and Proving Correct a Summation Formula

Example: Conjecture a formula for the sum of the first $n$ positive odd integers. Then prove your conjecture.
Solution: We have: $1=1, \quad 1+3=4,1+3+5=9, \quad 1+3+5+7=16, \quad 1+3+5+7+9=25$.

- We can conjecture that the sum of the first $n$ positive odd integers is $n^{2}$,

$$
1+3+5+\cdots+(2 n-1)=n^{2}
$$

- We will prove the conjecture is proved correct with mathematical induction:

BASIS STEP: $P(1)$ is true since $1^{2}=1$.
INDUCTIVE STEP: prove $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ for every positive integer $k$.
Assume the inductive hypothesis holds and then show that $P(k)$ holds has well.
Inductive Hypothesis $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k}): 1+3+5+\cdots+(2 k-1)=k^{2}$
So, assuming $P(k)$, it follows that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+3+5+\cdots+(2 k-1)+(2(k+1)-1) & =[1+3+5+\cdots+(2 k-1)]+(2 k+1) \\
& =k^{2}+(2 k+1)(\text { by the inductive hypothesis }) \\
& =k^{2}+2 k+1 \\
& =(k+1)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have shown that $\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{k}+1)$ follows from $\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{k})$.
Therefore the sum of the first $n$ positive odd integers is $n^{2}$.

## Proving Inequalities

Example: Use mathematical induction to prove that $n<2^{n}$ for all positive integers $n$.
Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that $n<2^{n}$.

- BASIS STEP: $P(1)$ is true since $1<2=2^{1}$.
- INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume $P(k)$ holds, i.e., $k<2^{k}$, for an arbitrary positive integer $k$.
- Must show that $P(k+1)$ holds. Since by the inductive hypothesis, $k<2^{k}$, it follows that:

$$
k+1<2^{k}+1 \leq 2^{k}+2^{k}=2 \cdot 2^{k}=2^{k+1}
$$

Therefore $n<2^{n}$ holds for all positive integers $n$.

## Proving Inequalities

Example: Use mathematical induction to prove that $2^{n}<n$ ! for every integer $n \geq 4$.
Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that $2^{n}<n$ !

- BASIS STEP: $P(4)$ is true since $2^{4}=16<24=4$ !
- INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume $P(k)$ holds, i.e., $2^{k}<k$ ! for an arbitrary integer $k \geq 4$. Must show that $P(k+1)$ holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{k+1} & =2 \cdot 2^{k} \\
& <2 \cdot k!\quad \text { by the inductive hypothesis } P(k) \\
& <(k+1) k! \\
& =(k+1)!
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $2^{n}<n$ ! holds, for every integer $n \geq 4$.
Note that here the basis step is $P(4)$, since $P(0), P(1), P(2)$, and $P(3)$ are all false.

## Proving Divisibility Results

Example: Use mathematical induction to prove that $n^{3}-n$ is divisible by 3 , for every positive integer $n$.
Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that $n^{3}-n$ is divisible by 3 .

- BASIS STEP: $P(1)$ is true since $1^{3}-1=0$, which is divisible by 3 .
- INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume $P(k)$ holds, i.e., $k^{3}-k$ is divisible by 3 , for an arbitrary positive integer $k$. To show that $P(k+1)$ follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k+1)^{3}-(k+1) & =\left(k^{3}+3 k^{2}+3 k+1\right)-(k+1) \\
& =\left(k^{3}-k\right)+3\left(k^{2}+k\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the inductive hypothesis, the first term $\left(k^{3}-k\right)$ is divisible by 3 and the second term is divisible by 3 since it is an integer multiplied by 3 . By part (i) of Theorem 1 (Sec.4.1), $(k+1)^{3}-(k+1)$ is divisible by 3.

Therefore, $n^{3}-n$ is divisible by 3 , for every integer positive integer $n$.

## Number of Subsets of a Finite Set

Example: Use mathematical induction to show that if $S$ is a finite set with n elements, where $n$ is a nonnegative integer, then $S$ has $2^{n}$ subsets.
That is, cardinality of the power set for $S$ is $|\mathscr{P}(S)|=2^{k}$
Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that a set with $n$ elements has $2^{n}$ subsets.

- Basis Step: $P(0)$ is true, because the empty set has only one subset (itself) and $1=2^{0}$.
- Inductive Step: Assume $P(k)$ is true for an arbitrary nonnegative integer $k$.


## Number of Subsets of a Finite Set

Inductive Hypothesis: For an arbitrary nonnegative integer $k$, every set with $k$ elements has $2^{k}$ subsets.

- Let $T$ be a set with $k+1$ elements. Choose any element $a \in T$. Then $T=S \cup\{a\}$, where $S=T-\{a\}$. Note that $|S|=k$.
- For each subset $X$ of $S$ there are exactly two subsets of $T$, i.e., $X$ and $X \cup\{a\}$.

- By the inductive hypothesis $S$ has $2^{k}$ subsets. Since there are two subsets of T for each subset of $S$, the number of subsets of $T$ is $2 \cdot 2^{k}=2^{k+1}$.


## Tiling Checkerboards

Example: Show that every $2^{n} \times 2^{n}$ checkerboard with one square removed can be tiled using right triominoes

A right triomino is an L-shaped tile which covers three squares at a time.


Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that every $2^{n} \times 2^{n}$ checkerboard with one square removed can be tiled using right triominoes. Use mathematical induction to prove that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers $n$.

- BASIS STEP: $\mathrm{P}(1)$ is true, because each of the four $2 \times 2$ checkerboards with one square removed can be tiled using one right triomino.

- INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that $P(k)$ is true for every $2^{k} \times 2^{k}$ checkerboard, for some positive integer $k$.


## Tiling Checkerboards

Inductive Hypothesis: Every $2^{k} \times 2^{k}$ checkerboard, for some positive integer $k$, with one square removed can be tiled using right triominoes.

- Consider a $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ checkerboard with one square removed. Split this checkerboard into four checkerboards of size $2^{k} \times 2^{k}$, by dividing it in half in both directions.

- Remove a square from one of the four $2^{k} \times 2^{k}$ checkerboards. By the inductive hypothesis, this board can be tiled.
- Also by the inductive hypothesis, the other three boards can be tiled with the square from the corner of the center of the original board removed. We can then cover the three adjacent squares with a triominoe.
- Hence, the entire $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ checkerboard with one square removed can be tiled using right triominoes.


## An Incorrect "Proof" by

## Mathematical Induction

Example: Let $P(n)$ be the statement that every set of $n$ lines in the plane, no two of which are parallel, meet in a common point. Here is a "proof" that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers $n \geq 2$.

- BASIS STEP: The statement $P(2)$ is true because any two lines in the plane that are not parallel meet in a common point.
- INDUCTIVE STEP: The inductive hypothesis is the statement that $P(k)$ is true for the positive integer $k \geq 2$, i.e., every set of $k$ lines in the plane, no two of which are parallel, meet in a common point.
- We must show that if $P(k)$ holds, then $P(k+1)$ holds, i.e., if every set of $k$ lines in the plane, no two of which are parallel, $k \geq 2$, meet in a common point, then every set of $\mathrm{k}+1$ lines in the plane, no two of which are parallel, meet in a common point.


## An Incorrect "Proof" by

## Mathematical Induction

Inductive Hypothesis: Every set of $k$ lines in the plane, where $k \geq 2$, no two of which are parallel, meet in a common point.

- Consider a set of $k+1$ distinct lines in the plane, no two parallel. By the inductive hypothesis, the first $k$ of these lines must meet in a common point $p_{1}$. By the inductive hypothesis, the last $k$ of these lines meet in a common point $p_{2}$.
- If $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are different points, all lines containing both of them must be the same line since two points determine a line. This contradicts the assumption that the lines are distinct. Hence, point $p_{1}=p_{2}$ lies on all $k+1$ distinct lines, and therefore $P(k+1)$ holds. Assuming that $k \geq 2$, distinct lines meet in a common point, then every $k+1$ lines meet in a common point.
- There must be an error in this proof since the conclusion is absurd. But where is the error?
- Answer: $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ only holds for $k \geq 3$. It is not the case that $P(2)$ implies $P(3)$. The first two lines must meet in a common point $p_{1}$ and the second two must meet in a common point $p_{2}$. They do not have to be the same point since only the second line is common to both sets of lines.


## Guidelines:

## Mathematical Induction Proofs

## Template for Proofs by Mathematical Induction

1. Express the statement that is to be proved in the form "for all $n \geq b, P(n)$ " for a fixed integer $b$.
2. Write out the words "Basis Step." Then show that $P(b)$ is true, taking care that the correct value of $b$ is used. This completes the first part of the proof.
3. Write out the words "Inductive Step."
4. State, and clearly identify, the inductive hypothesis, in the form "assume that $P(k)$ is true for an arbitrary fixed integer $k \geq b$."
5. State what needs to be proved under the assumption that the inductive hypothesis is true. That is, write out what $P(k+1)$ says.
6. Prove the statement $P(k+1)$ making use the assumption $P(k)$. Be sure that your proof is valid for all integers $k$ with $k \geq b$, taking care that the proof works for small values of $k$, including $k=b$.
7. Clearly identify the conclusion of the inductive step, such as by saying "this completes the inductive step."
8. After completing the basis step and the inductive step, state the conclusion, namely that by mathematical induction, $P(n)$ is true for all integers $n$ with $n \geq b$.

## Strong Induction and Well-Ordering

Section 5.2

## Section Summary

- Strong Induction
- Example Proofs using Strong Induction
- Well-Ordering Property


## Strong Induction

- Strong Induction:

To prove that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers $n$, where $P(n)$ is a propositional function, complete two steps:

- Basis Step: Verify that the proposition $P(1)$ is true.
- Inductive Step: Show the conditional statement $[P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k)] \rightarrow P(k+1)$ holds for all positive integers $k$.

> Strong Induction is sometimes called the second principle of mathematical induction or complete induction.

## Strong Induction and the Infinite Ladder

Strong induction tells us that we can reach all rungs if:

1. We can reach the first rung of the ladder.
2. For every integer $k$, if we can reach the first $k$ rungs, then we can reach the $(k+1)$ st rung.

To conclude that we can reach every rung by strong induction:

- BASIS STEP: $P(1)$ holds
- INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume $P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k)$ holds for an arbitrary integer $k$, and show that $P(k+1)$ must also hold.
We will have then shown by strong induction that for every positive integer $n, P(n)$ holds, i.e., we can reach the $n$-th rung of the ladder.



## Which Form of Induction Should Be Used?

- We can always use strong induction instead of mathematical induction. But there is no reason to use it if it is simpler to use mathematical induction.
- In fact, the principles of mathematical induction, strong induction, and the well-ordering property are all equivalent.
- Sometimes it is clear how to proceed using one of the three methods, but not the other two.


## Completion of the proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Example: Show that if $n$ is an integer greater than 1 , then $n$ can be written as the product of primes.
Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that $n$ can be written as a product of primes.

- BASIS STEP: $P(2)$ is true since 2 itself is prime.
- INDUCTIVE STEP: The inductive hypothesis is $P(j)$ is true for all integers $j$ with $2 \leq j \leq k$. To show that $P(k+1)$ must be true under this assumption, two cases need to be considered:
- If $k+1$ is prime, then $P(k+1)$ is true.
- Otherwise, $k+1$ is composite and can be written as the product of two positive integers $a$ and $b$ with $2 \leq a \leq b<k+1$. By the inductive hypothesis $a$ and $b$ can be written as the product of primes and therefore $k+1$ can also be written as the product of those primes.
Hence, it has been shown that every integer greater than 1 can be written as the product of primes.


## Proof using Strong Induction

Example: Prove that every amount of postage of 12 cents or more can be formed using just 4-cent and 5-cent stamps.
Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that postage of $n$ cents can be formed using 4 -cent and 5-cent stamps.

- BASIS STEP: $P(12), P(13), P(14)$, and $P(15)$ hold.
- $P(12)$ uses three 4 -cent stamps.
- $P(13)$ uses two 4 -cent stamps and one 5-cent stamp.
- $P(14)$ uses one 4 -cent stamp and two 5-cent stamps.
- $P(15)$ uses three 5-cent stamps.
- INDUCTIVE STEP: The inductive hypothesis states that $P(j)$ holds for $12 \leq j \leq k$, where $k \geq 15$. Assuming the inductive hypothesis, it can be shown that $P(k+1)$ holds.
- Using the inductive hypothesis, $P(k-3)$ holds since $k-3 \geq 12$. To form postage of $k+1$ cents, add a 4 -cent stamp to the postage for $k-3$ cents.
Hence, $P(n)$ holds for all $n \geq 12$.


## Proof of Same Example using

## Mathematical Induction

Example: Prove that every amount of postage of 12 cents or more can be formed using just 4-cent and 5-cent stamps.
Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that postage of $n$ cents can be formed using 4 -cent and 5 -cent stamps.

- BASIS STEP: Postage of 12 cents can be formed using three 4 -cent stamps. Thus, $\mathrm{P}(12)$ holds. We also checked $\mathrm{P}(13), \mathrm{P}(14), \mathrm{P}(15)$.
- INDUCTIVE STEP: The inductive hypothesis $P(k)$ for any positive integer $k>15$ is that postage of $k$ cents can be formed using 4 -cent and 5 -cent stamps. To show $\mathrm{P}(k+1)$ where $k \geq 12$, we consider two cases:
- If at least one 4 -cent stamp has been used, then a 4 -cent stamp can be replaced with a 5 -cent stamp to yield a total of $k+1$ cents.
- Otherwise, no 4 -cent stamp have been used and at least three 5 -cent stamps were used. Three 5 -cent stamps can be replaced by four 4-cent stamps to yield a total of $\mathrm{k}+1$ cents.
Hence, $P(n)$ holds for all $n \geq 12$.


## Well-Ordering Property

- Well-ordering property: Every nonempty set of nonnegative integers has a least element.
- The well-ordering property is one of the axioms of the positive integers listed in Appendix 1.
- The well-ordering property can be generalized.

Definition:
A set is well ordered if every subset has a least element.

- $\mathbf{N}$ is well ordered under $\leq$.
- The set of finite strings over an alphabet using lexicographic ordering is well ordered.


## Well-Ordering Property

Example: Use the well-ordering property to prove the division algorithm, which states that if $a$ is an integer and $d$ is a positive integer, then there are unique integers $q$ and $r$ with $0 \leq r<d$, such that $a=d q+r$.
Solution: Given $a$ and $d>0$, let $S$ be the set of nonnegative integers of the form $a-d q$ where $q$ is an integer. The set is nonempty since $-d q$ can be made as large as needed.

- By the well-ordering property, S has a least element $r=a-d q_{0}$. The integer $r$ is nonnegative.
- It also must be the case that $r<d$. If it were not, then there would be a smaller nonnegative element in S , namely, $\mathrm{r}^{*}:=a-d\left(q_{0}+1\right)=a-d q_{0}-d=r-d>0$.
- Therefore, there are integers $q$ and $r$ with $0 \leq r<d$.
(uniqueness of $q$ and $r$ is Exercise 37)


# Recursive Definitions and Structural Induction 

 Section 5.3
## Section Summary

- Recursively Defined Functions
- Recursively Defined Sets and Structures
- Structural Induction


## Sierpinski Triangle

Sierpinski triangles are formed by starting with a triangle and then forming 3 triangles (black) within the original by connecting the midpoints of the sides of the original triangle.


## Sierpinski Triangle

Sierpinski triangles are formed by starting with a triangle and then forming 3 triangles (black) within the original by connecting the midpoints of the sides of the original triangle.
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## Recursively Defined Functions

Definition: A recursive or inductive definition of a function consists of two steps.

- BASIS STEP: Specify the value of the function at zero.
- RECURSIVE STEP: Give a rule for finding function's value at an integer from its values at smaller integers.
- NOTE: a function $f(n)$ is the same as a sequence $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots$ where $f(n)=a_{n}$. We previously used recurrence relations to define sequences (Section 2.4). The above is essentially the same.


## Recursively Defined Functions

Example: Suppose $f$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(0) & =3 \\
f(n+1) & =2 f(n)+3
\end{aligned}
$$

Find $f(1), f(2), f(3), f(4)$
Solution:

- $f(1)=2 f(0)+3=2 \cdot 3+3=9$
- $f(2)=2 f(1)+3=2 \cdot 9+3=21$
- $f(3)=2 f(2)+3=2 \cdot 21+3=45$
- $f(4)=2 f(3)+3=2 \cdot 45+3=93$

Example: Give a recursive definition of the factorial function $n!$ : Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(0)=1 \\
& f(n+1)=(n+1) \cdot f(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Recursively Defined Functions

Example: Give a recursive definition of:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}
$$

Solution: The first part of the definition is

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{0} a_{k}=a_{0}
$$

The second part is

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} a_{k}=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right)+a_{n+1}
$$

## Fibonacci Numbers

Example : The Fibonacci numbers are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=0 \\
& f_{1}=1 \\
& f_{n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Find $f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}$.

- $f_{2}=f_{1}+f_{0}=1+0=1$
- $f_{3}=f_{2}+f_{1}=1+1=2$
- $f_{4}=f_{3}+f_{2}=2+1=3$
- $f_{5}=f_{4}+f_{3}=3+2=5$


## Recursively Defined Sets and Structures

Recursive definitions of sets have two parts:

- The basis step specifies an initial collection of elements.
- The recursive step gives the rules for forming new elements in the set from those already known to be in the set.
- Sometimes the recursive definition has an exclusion rule, which specifies that the set contains nothing other than those elements specified in the basis step and generated by applications of the rules in the recursive step.
We will always assume that the exclusion rule holds, even if it is not explicitly mentioned.
- We will later develop a form of induction, called structural induction, to prove results about recursively defined sets.


## Recursively Defined Sets and Structures

Example: Subset of Integers $S$ :
BASIS STEP: $3 \in S$.
RECURSIVE STEP: If $x \in S$ and $y \in S$, then $x+y$ is in $S$.

- Initially 3 is in $S$, then $3+3=6$, then $3+6=9$, etc.

Example: The natural numbers $\mathbf{N}$.
BASIS STEP: $0 \in \mathbf{N}$.
RECURSIVE STEP: If $n$ is in $\mathbf{N}$, then $n+1$ is in $\mathbf{N}$.

- Initially 0 is in $S$, then $0+1=1$, then $1+1=2$, etc.


## Strings

Definition: The set $\Sigma^{*}$ of strings over the alphabet $\Sigma$ : BASIS STEP: $\lambda \in \Sigma^{*}$ ( $\lambda$ is the empty string) RECURSIVE STEP: If $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $x \in \Sigma$ then $w x \in \Sigma^{*}$.

Example: If $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$, the strings in in $\Sigma^{*}$ are the set of all bit strings: $\lambda, 0,1,00,01,10,11,000,001,010, \ldots$ etc.

Example: If $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$, show that $a a b$ is in $\Sigma^{*}$.

- Since $\lambda \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $a \in \Sigma, a \in \Sigma^{*}$.
- Since $a \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $a \in \Sigma, a a \in \Sigma^{*}$.
- Since $a a \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $b \in \Sigma, a a b \in \Sigma^{*}$.


## Length of a String

Example: Give a recursive definition of function $l: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}^{+}$specifying length of any given string in $\Sigma^{*}$.

Solution: The length of a string can be recursively defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l(\lambda)=0 \\
& l(w x)=l(w)+1 \quad \text { if } w \in \Sigma^{*} \text { and } x \in \Sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

## String Concatenation

Definition: Two strings can be combined via the operation of concatenation. Let $\Sigma$ be a set of symbols and $\Sigma^{*}$ be the set of strings formed from the symbols in $\Sigma$. We can recursively define the concatenation operator "•" mapping two strings to a string

$$
\text { ("operator • : } \left.\Sigma^{*} \times \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma^{*}\right)
$$

BASIS STEP: If $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ then $w \cdot \lambda=w$ RECURSIVE STEP: If $w_{1} \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $w_{2} \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $\mathrm{x} \in \Sigma$ then

$$
w \cdot\left(w_{2} x\right)=\left(w_{1} \cdot w_{2}\right) x
$$

- Often concatenation of two strings $v \cdot u$ is written as $v u$
- If $v=$ "abra" and $u=$ "cadabra", the concatenation is

$$
\mathrm{v} u=\text { "abracadabra" }
$$

## Rooted Trees

Definition: The set of rooted trees, where a rooted tree consists of a set of vertices containing a distinguished vertex called the root, and edges connecting these vertices, can be defined recursively by these steps:

BASIS STEP: A single vertex $r$ is a rooted tree.
RECURSIVE STEP: Suppose that $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}$ are disjoint rooted trees with roots $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}$ respectively. Then the structure formed by starting with a root $r$ (which is not in any of the rooted trees $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}$ ) and adding an edge from $r$ to each of the vertices $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}$ is also a rooted tree.

## Building Up Rooted Trees



Next we look at a special type of tree, the full binary tree.

## Full Binary Trees

Definition: The set of full binary trees can be defined recursively by these steps.
BASIS STEP: There is a full binary tree consisting of only a single vertex $r$.
RECURSIVE STEP: If $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are disjoint full binary trees, there is a full binary tree (denoted by $T_{1} \cdot T_{2}$ ) consisting of a root $r$ together with edges connecting the root to each of the roots of the left subtree $T_{1}$ and the right subtree $T_{2}$.

## Building Up Full Binary Trees

Step 1

## Induction and Recursively Defined Sets

Example: Show that the set $S$ (defined by specifying that $3 \in S$ and that if $x \in S$ and $y \in S$, then $x+y$ is in $S$ ) is the set of all positive integers that are multiples of 3 .
Solution: Let $A$ be the set of all positive integers divisible by 3 . To prove that $A=S$, show that $A$ is a subset of $S$ and $S$ is a subset of $A$.

- $\mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{S}:$ Let $\mathrm{P}(n)$ be the statement that $3 n$ belongs to $S$.

BASIS STEP: $3 \cdot 1=3 \in S$, by the first part of recursive definition.
INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume $P(k)$ is true. By the second part of the recursive definition, if $3 k \in S$, then since $3 \in S, 3 k+3=3(k+1) \in S$. Hence, $P(k+1)$ is true.

- $S \subset A$ : structural induction
BASIS STEP: $3 \in S$ by the first part of recursive definition, and $3=3 \cdot 1$.
INDUCTIVE STEP: The second part of the recursive definition adds $x+y$ to $S$, if both $x$ and $y$ are in $S$. If $x$ and $y$ are both in $A$, then both $x$ and $y$ are divisible by 3 . By part (i) of Theorem 1 of Section 4.1, it follows that $x+y$ is divisible by 3 .


## Structural Induction

Definition: To prove a property of the elements of a recursively defined set, we use structural induction. BASIS STEP: Show that the result holds for all elements specified in the basis step of the recursive definition.
RECURSIVE STEP: Show that if the statement is true for each of the (old) elements used to construct new elements in the recursive step of the definition, then the result holds for these new elements.

- The validity of structural induction can be shown to follow from the principle of mathematical induction.


## Full Binary Trees

Definition: The height $h(T)$ of a full binary tree $T$ is defined recursively as follows:

- BASIS STEP: The height of a full binary tree $T$ consisting of only a root $r$ is $h(T)=0$.
- RECURSIVE STEP: If $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are full binary trees, then the full binary tree $T=T_{1} \cdot T_{2}$ has height $h(T)=1+\max \left(h\left(T_{1}\right), h\left(T_{2}\right)\right)$.
- The number of vertices $n(T)$ of a full binary tree $T$ satisfies the following recursive formula:
- BASIS STEP: The number of vertices of a full binary tree $T$ consisting of only a root $r$ is $n(T)=1$.
- RECURSIVE STEP: If $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are full binary trees, then the full binary tree $T=T_{1} \cdot T_{2}$ has the number of vertices

$$
n(T)=1+n\left(T_{1}\right)+n\left(T_{2}\right) .
$$

## Structural Induction and Binary Trees

Theorem: If $T$ is a full binary tree, then $n(T) \leq 2^{h(T)+1}-1$.
Proof: Use structural induction.

- BASIS STEP: The result holds for a full binary tree consisting only of a root, $n(T)=1$ and $h(T)=0$. Hence, $n(T)=1 \leq 2^{0+1}-1=1$.
- RECURSIVE STEP: Assume $n\left(T_{1}\right) \leq 2^{h(T 1)+1}-1$ and also $n\left(T_{2}\right) \leq 2^{h(T 2)+1}-1$ whenever $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are full binary trees.

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(T) & =1+n\left(T_{1}\right)+n\left(T_{2}\right) & & \text { - by recursive formula of } n(T) \\
& \leq 1+\left(2^{h\left(T_{1}\right)+1}-1\right)+\left(2^{h\left(T_{2}\right)+1}-1\right) & & \text { - by inductive hypothesis } \\
& \leq 2 \cdot \max \left(2^{h\left(T_{1}\right)+1}, 2^{h\left(T_{2}\right)+1}\right)-1 & & \\
& =2 \cdot 2^{\max \left(h\left(T_{1}\right), h\left(T_{2}\right)\right)+1}-1 & & \text { - since } \max \left(2^{x}, 2^{y}\right)=2^{\max (x, y)} \\
& =2 \cdot 2^{h(T)}-1 & & \text { - by recursive definition of } h(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=2^{h(T)+1}-1
$$

# Recursive Algorithms 

Section 5.4

## Section Summary

- Recursive Algorithms
- Proving Recursive Algorithms Correct


## Recursive Algorithms

Definition: An algorithm is called recursive if it solves a problem by reducing it to an instance of the same problem with smaller input.

- For the algorithm to terminate, the instance of the problem must eventually be reduced to some initial case for which the solution is known.


## Recursive Factorial Algorithm

Example: Give a recursive algorithm for computing $n!$, where $n$ is a nonnegative integer.

- Solution: Use the recursive definition of the factorial function.

```
procedure factorial(n: nonnegative integer)
if n=0 then return 1
else return n\cdot(n-1)!
{output is n!}
```


## Recursive Exponentiation Algorithm

Example: Give a recursive algorithm for computing $a^{n}$, where $a$ is a nonzero real number and $n$ is a nonnegative integer.
Solution: Use the recursive definition of $a^{n}$.

```
procedure power(a: nonzero real number, n: nonnegative integer)
if n=0 then return 1
else return a\cdotpower (a,n-1)
{output is \mp@subsup{a}{}{n}}
```


## Recursive GCD Algorithm

Example: Give a recursive algorithm for computing the greatest common divisor of two nonnegative integers $a$ and $b$ with $a<b$.
Solution: Use the reduction

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=\operatorname{gcd}(b \bmod a, a)
$$

and the condition $\operatorname{gcd}(0, b)=b$ when $b>0$.

```
procedure gcd(a,b: nonnegative integers such that }a<b
if }a=0\mathrm{ then return }
else return gcd (b mod a, a)
{output is gcd (a,b)}
```


## Proving Recursive Algorithms Correct

- Both mathematical and strong induction are useful techniques to show that recursive algorithms always produce the correct output.

Example: Prove that the algorithm for computing the powers of real numbers is correct.

```
procedure power(a: nonzero real number, n: nonnegative integer)
if n=0 then return 1
else return a}\mathrm{ - power (a,n-1)
{output is \mp@subsup{a}{}{n}}
```

Solution: Use mathematical induction on the exponent $n$.
BASIS STEP: $a^{0}=1$ for every nonzero real number $a$, and $\operatorname{power}(a, 0)=1$.
INDUCTIVE STEP: The inductive hypothesis is that power $(a, k)=a^{k}$, for all $a \neq 0$.
Assuming the inductive hypothesis, the algorithm correctly computes $a^{k+1}$, since

$$
\operatorname{power}(a, k+1)=a \cdot \text { power }(a, k)=a \cdot a^{k}=a^{k+1} .
$$

